From: Judy/Andy Heim

Sent:April 28, 2021 8:44 AMTo:Legislative Services SharedSubject:[EXTERNAL] - Elbow View ASP

Attachments: Elbow View ASP.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Please see the attached letter regarding Elbow View ASP

Thank you

Andy Heim

April 28, 2021

To: Rockyview County Council

RE: Bylaw C-8111-2020 Elbow View ASP

Councillors,

As landowners living in close proximity to this ASP we wish to express our opposition to it's approval. We have several concerns which are listed as follows:

- Rockyview already has approved a few major development projects at Bingham Crossing, Harmony and Glenbow Ranch. Rockyview County is therefore obligated to provide services such as fire protection and recreation to these developments using current tax payers funds. We feel that our taxpayer costs can be reduced by focusing on these few high quality developments and not adding more development resulting in multiplication of costs. The west side of the County is already well supplied by the current projects already approved.
- The options suggested in this plan all use the Elbow River as the water source. The flow from this river varies significantly throughout the year, from very high in spring runoff to very low in the summer and winter. We were understanding that there would be no further water licenses granted for withdrawal from the Elbow River. Has that changed? Also should the County encourages large residential developments downstream from the SR1? Wouldn't the quality of water during a release event have significant implications for any downstream withdrawal especially in such close proximity to the reservoir?
- It should be noted that the City of Calgary has in the past had much to say about waste water being dumped back into the Elbow River, even if it has been treated (Option 1).
 We would encourage some collaboration with the City on this.
- It seems like waste water option 2 is very inefficient and option 3 would make the most sense but still relies on the cooperation of the City of Calgary.
- The development would seem to rely on the twinning of Highway 8 which the last time it was questioned, Alberta Transportation did not consider it a high priority.
- The great park space indicated in the ASP along the south side of the Elbow River appears to be privately owned and not part of the planned development in the foreseeable future. Without those proposed trails and pathways there is nothing special to offer.

Regards, Andy Heim 34250 Township Road 240 Calgary, Alberta

From: Anita McC

Sent: April 27, 2021 2:55 PM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C-8111-2020 Elbow View Area Structure Plan

Attachments: Rocky View CountyApril 27.doc

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Rocky View County April 27, 2021

Via E-mail

Re: Bylaw C-8111-2020 Elbow View Area Structure Plan

Date: May 11, 2021 Public Hearing

I am a resident (taxpayer) who has lived on these adjacent lands for 40 years.

I have observed many changes in the Springbank and Elbow Valley areas in this time, some terrific, and some very poorly thought out.

I am **opposed** to this ASP for some of the following reasons:

Water

This plan suggests the Elbow River as a water source. Simply NOT viable. This river at times after spring run off is high yes, but in the area that is suggested, at times is to the bed throughout warm summers. One must simply walk down there to see this. I do not believe the SR1 will rectify this, nor do I believe the City of Calgary would approve of the allowance of developers using their water source in this manner.

Waste Water

The City of Calgary does not, and has not approved in the past of treated or untreated water being injected into their water supply. That has not changed. Taxpayers should not incur the cost of waste removal, as has happened in some of your other developments.

Services

Rocky View must provide services, fire protection etc. These will be incurred by way of taxpayer dollars. Cross Iron Mills area residents can attest to their tax increases, brought about by poor planning of that development.

Transportation

ATTACHMENT 'C': PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS

E-2 - Attachment C Page 4 of 149

Highway 8 is "somewhat of a death trap", as quoted by a reporter on Global News. Since the allowance of semi-trailer trucks on this road, fatalities have increased significantly. Over the years I have lost many family and friends simply trying to get to their homes on this already over used highway.

Rocky View is MOST aware of this fact, yet is considering adding 18,000 plus more vehicles to this single lane highway. Knowledge of risk, and blatantly increasing that risk is actionable under law. Your legal department should also be strongly reviewing this proposed ASP. Any brought forth class action suits would be payed for by the taxpayer.

No further traffic or use of this highway should be considered until this highway is at least twinned. At this time Alberta Transportation has no intention of doing that.

Thank you for your time and further consideration of this flawed ASP.

Anita McCracken 34137 Township Road 240A Calgary, AB Rocky View County Via E-mail April 27, 2021

Re: Bylaw C-8111-2020 Elbow View Area Structure Plan

Date: May 11, 2021 Public Hearing

I am a resident (taxpayer) who has lived on these adjacent lands for 40 years.

I have observed many changes in the Springbank and Elbow Valley areas in this time, some terrific, and some very poorly thought out.

I am **opposed** to this ASP for some of the following reasons:

Water

This plan suggests the Elbow River as a water source. Simply NOT viable. This river at times after spring run off is high yes, but in the area that is suggested, at times is to the bed throughout warm summers. One must simply walk down there to see this. I do not believe the SR1 will rectify this, nor do I believe the City of Calgary would approve of the allowance of developers using their water source in this manner.

Waste Water

The City of Calgary does not, and has not approved in the past of treated or untreated water being injected into their water supply. That has not changed. Taxpayers should not incur the cost of waste removal, as has happened in some of your other developments.

Services

Rocky View must provide services, fire protection etc. These will be incurred by way of taxpayer dollars. Cross Iron Mills area residents can attest to their tax increases, brought about by poor planning of that development.

Transportation

Highway 8 is "somewhat of a death trap", as quoted by a reporter on Global News. Since the allowance of semi-trailer trucks on this road, fatalities have increased significantly. Over the years I have lost many family and friends simply trying to get to their homes on this already over used highway.

Rocky View is MOST aware of this fact, yet is considering adding 18,000 plus more vehicles to this single lane highway.

Knowledge of risk, and blatantly increasing that risk is actionable under law. Your legal department should also be strongly reviewing this proposed ASP. Any brought forth class action suits would be payed for by the taxpayer.

No further traffic or use of this highway should be considered until this highway is at least twinned. At this time Alberta Transportation has no intention of doing that.

Thank you for your time and further consideration of this flawed ASP.

Anita McCracken 34137 Township Road 240A Calgary, AB

From: zectbu

Sent: April 28, 2021 2:33 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C-8111-2020 Elbow View ASP

Follow Up Flag: Follow up **Flag Status:** Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To RVC Council:

My family lives within the circulation area to the west of this proposed ASP. I am writing to express our opposition to it.

The proposed development is best described as a mid-sized town. A project of this size makes no sense anywhere in Rocky View County. In this specific case, the idea of building a town larger than Canmore in its proposed location rather than a few kilometers east within the bounds of Calgary where the city's infrastructure can support such projects is absolutely baffling in its sheer stupidity.

While the infrastructural inefficiencies offend me, I am also personally extremely concerned about the impact the development will have on our ability to use Highway 8. The developer has no ability to ensure that the upgrades to the highway that will be essential because of its proposal will be done ever, let alone on a timely basis. That alone should make this proposal unacceptable.

It is also my understanding that one of the biggest advertising features of the proposed town is its access to parkland along the river. The fact that all land adjacent to both the proposed town site and the river is owned by private individuals who are not just unaffiliated with the development but according to some mutual friends implacably hostile to the development suggests that its marketing is based on not just deception but outright lies. If this developer can't even manage to produce accurate marketing pitches, how can they be trusted on anything complex? Given that I imagine building a town with all required infrastructure from scratch is in fact rather complex, I find myself rather worried about the potential for very expensive embarrassments in years to come. Does the name Windhorse Manor ring a bell, perhaps?

While I must admit to being less than wholly optimistic given Council's recent decision-making patterns, I sincerely hope that enough members of Council will do the right thing for once to reject this abomination, rather than forcing the Calgary Metropolitan Region Board to yet again save Rocky View County from its own Council.

Yours sincerely, David Taylor 240036 Range Road 35

From: Doug Nishimura

Sent: April 26, 2021 7:45 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - e: Bylaw C-8111-2020 Elbow View ASP

Attachments: Rockyview letter.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Please see attached letter.

April 26, 2021

To: RockyView County Council

Re: Bylaw C-8111-2020 Elbow View ASP

My wife and I are landowners and residents located approximately 2 km from this ASP. As such we wish to advise Council that we oppose approval of the above Bylaw. We have several reasons, as follows:

- 1. RockyView has already approved several major development projects at Bingham Crossing, Harmony and Glenbow Ranch. Since RockyView is obligated to provide certain services including fire protection and recreation to any new developments, more developments which will result more costs, and we do not anticipate that the benefits of the new developments will offset such costs. Further, there is no perceived demand for such projects at this time.
- 2. This Plan uses the Elbow River watershed as a water source, which we understood was subject to a licence moratorium, for good reason. Having lived in this area for 20 years (and my wife for 50), we know that flow from this river varies significantly from high water flood during the spring melt to very low rates at other times. In addition, a large residential development just downstream of SR1 is not well thought out. The quality of water during a release event would have significant implications for any downstream withdrawals, particularly being in such close proximity to the reservoir.
- 3. We have read Option 1 for waste water management, and we also note that the City of Calgary has had great concerns about any form of waste water being dumped back into the Elbow, above the City's intake. Antagonizing the City is imprudent, and will lead to many more such issues. Option 2 is impractical and highly inefficient. Option 3 makes some sense, but of relies upon cooperation with the City, which is not evidenced anywhere.
- 4. The transportation plan relies on the twinning of Highway 8. The last time we questioned Alberta Transportation (which has been of interest to our family since we have arrangements with Alberta Transportation in such an event), twinning was not a priority, and not in the scheduled and budgeted development plans.
- 5. The ASP includes park space along the south side of the Elbow River, however my understanding is that this land is privately owned and

therefore it is a huge assumption that it will be part of the planned development. Absent those plans, this development is simply the same as any urban community and not in keeping with the Springbank communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Doug Nishimura and Jennifer Gilmour-Nishimura 34179 Township Road 241

From: Joyce Routly

Sent: April 28, 2021 9:39 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject:[EXTERNAL] - OPPOSED to Elbow View ASPAttachments:Elbow View ASP.pages; ATT00001.htm

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Attached please find a letter in opposition of the Elbow View ASP.

From: Betty-Anne Payette

Sent: April 28, 2021 7:44 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View Area Structure Plan - Public Hearing Letter of Opposition

Attachments: Elbow View ASP 2021.docx; ATT00001.txt

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Attached is a letter of opposition for the Elbow View Area Structure Plan. Please forward this letter to the RockyView County Council for the May 11 Public Hearing on this matter.

Thank you

Matt and Betty-Anne Payette 34251 Township Road 240A Calgary, Alberta T3Z 2Y1 April 27, 2021

To: RockyView County Council

Re: Bylaw C-8111-2020 Elbow View ASP

As landowners and residents located approximately 1 mile from this ASP we wish to inform Council of our opposition to the approval of the above Bylaw.

We oppose the approval for the following reasons:

- 1. RockyView has already approved major development projects at Bingham Crossing, Harmony and Glenbow Ranch. RockyView is obligated to provide certain services including fire protection and recreation to any new developments. Taxpayer costs can be reduced by focusing on a few high quality developments, not continuing at add more developments which will result in the multiplication of costs. The west side of the County is well supplied by the above, previously approved projects.
- 2. All options suggested in this Plan use the Elbow River as a water source. The flow from this river varies significantly from high water flood during the spring melt to very low rates at other times of the year. We understood that no further licences would be granted for withdrawal from the Elbow? In addition, should the County encourage a large residential development just downstream of SR1? The quality of water during a release event would have significant implications for any downstream withdrawals, particularly being in such close proximity to the reservoir.
- 3. Regarding Option 1 for waste water management, it must be noted that in the past, the City has had a lot to say about waste water (even treated) being dumped back into the Elbow, above the City's intake. We encourage collaboration with the City, in the spirit of regional development.
- 4. Option 2 for waste water seems highly inefficient. Option 3 makes the most sense, but of course relies upon cooperation with the City, which seems to be in short supply.
- 5. The transportation plan relies heavily upon the twinning of Highway 8. The last time we questioned Alberta Transport, twinning was not a priority.
- 6. The ASP includes some lovely park space along the south side of the Elbow River, however it is our belief that this land is privately owned, and will not be part of the planned development in the foreseeable future. Without the trails and pathways as proposed on this land, this development has nothing special to offer.

Matt and Betty-Anne Payette 34251 Township Road 240A, Calgary, Alberta T3Z 2Y1

From: Pam Janzen

Sent:April 28, 2021 9:04 AMTo:Legislative Services SharedSubject:[EXTERNAL] - Elbow View ASP

Attachments: Elbow View ASP.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

For inclusion in Councll package, thank you

April 26, 2021

To: RockyView County Council

Re: Bylaw C-8111-2020 Elbow View ASP

As landowners and residents located approximately 1 mile from this ASP we wish to inform Council of our opposition to the approval of the above Bylaw.

We oppose the approval for the following reasons:

- RockyView has already approved major development projects at Bingham Crossing, Harmony and Glenbow Ranch. RockyView is obligated to provide certain services including fire protection and recreation to any new developments. Taxpayer costs can be reduced by focusing on a few high quality developments, not continuing at add more developments which will result in the multiplication of costs. The west side of the County is well supplied by the above, previously approved projects.
- 2. All options suggested in this Plan use the Elbow River as a water source. The flow from this river varies significantly from high water flood during the spring melt to very low rates at other times of the year. We understood that no further licences would be granted for withdrawal from the Elbow? In addition, should the County encourage a large residential development just downstream of SR1? The quality of water during a release event would have significant implications for any downstream withdrawals, particularly being in such close proximity to the reservoir.
- 3. Regarding Option 1 for waste water management, it must be noted that in the past, the City has had a lot to say about waste water (even treated) being dumped back into the Elbow, above the City's intake. We encourage collaboration with the City, in the spirit of regional development.
- 4. Option 2 for waste water seems highly inefficient. Option 3 makes the most sense, but of course relies upon cooperation with the City, which seems to be in short supply.
- 5. The transportation plan relies heavily upon the twinning of Highway 8. The last time we questioned Alberta Transport, twinning was not a priority.
- 6. The ASP includes some lovely park space along the south side of the Elbow River, however it is our belief that this land is privately owned, and will not be part of the planned development in the foreseeable future. Without the trails and pathways as proposed on this land, this development has nothing special to offer.

From: Rocky View Forward <info@rockyviewforward.com>

Sent: April 28, 2021 3:28 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C - 8111 - 2020: Elbow View ASP

Attachments: rvf-elbowviewasp-submission-final.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Greetings:

Please find attached Rocky View Forward's submission on the Elbow View ASP that is scheduled for a public hearing on May 11th.

all the best, Janet Ballantyne for Rocky View Forward

Bylaw C – 8111 – 2020: Elbow View ASP Submission from Rocky View Forward April 28, 2021

Rocky View Forward would like to start by commending the applicant for designing what sounds like what might be an attractive community. Unfortunately, in our view there are enough serious flaws in the proposal that it should not move forward.

We would like to focus on the major shortcomings of this proposal, which include:

- No demonstrated need for a new mid-sized town on the west side of Rocky View County, beyond opportunities that has already been approved.
- Reliance on an extremely unstable river for potable and probably wastewater servicing.
- Dependence on transportation upgrades that are out of the developers' or the County's control.
- Insufficient information about ownership and management of the proposed open space, parks, and trails systems.
- Mixed messaging regarding intentions for commercial development within the ASP.

Beyond these shortcomings, there is the overwhelming reality that the ASP is completely inconsistent with the County Plan. Since this is Rocky View's municipal development plan until the CMRB approves the revised version, the Elbow View ASP must comply with existing statutory documents. If the applicant wanted to be assessed relative to the new Municipal Development Plan, they had the choice to delay consideration of this ASP.

No need for an additional mid-sized town

As we said in our introduction, the proposed community might well be attractive. However, there is no need for incremental development of this nature until already-approved similar higher-density residential communities on the west side of the county have been built out. Both Harmony and Glenbow Ranch are designed to be full-service planned communities with similar populations. Given the significant technical issues that need to be overcome for this development to proceed, it makes no sense to launch it before other, less technically challenging developments are closer to full build out.

The County should not be compromising the future build out of these other communities by approving yet more. This is particularly relevant given that both Harmony and Glenbow Ranch are grandfathered under the provisions of the CMRB while this proposal is not.

The ASP asserts that this location is the next logical planned community along Highway 8. While that may be a true statement, there is no current need for such a community. The population projections for the region do not support another new community with 18,000 residents. This is over 70% of the population growth for the entire county over the next thirty years – the projected timeframe for this project. It is difficult to believe

2

that this one community can or should account for such a large share of the County's overall growth during that period.

Water and wastewater concerns

The proposed development will draw its potable water from the Elbow River and two of the three possible wastewater treatment options involve returning its treated effluent to the Elbow.

The applicant's water/wastewater study indicates that the landowners have existing water licenses that might be able to service just over half of the proposed development. Given the serious constraints on new water licenses in the entire watershed, and particularly on the Elbow, it is questionable how the ASP can be approved without demonstrating that there is at least probably availability of water to support the magnitude of proposed residential and commercial development.

The risks and complexities of using the Elbow River for further residential water needs is clearly illustrated in the ASP's own technical studies. They emphasize the necessity of massive on-site storage facilities for both raw water and treated effluent. These are essential to deal with the reality that the Elbow River's flow is so low for about half of each year that the river cannot support withdrawals or returns during that period.

Transportation issues

The only way in and out of this development is via Highway 8, which is under the sole control of Alberta Transportation. The application's transportation study has determined that significant upgrades to Highway 8 will be required for the development to proceed.

There are no policies in the ASP that address how its development should proceed if Alberta Transportation does not undertake the necessary upgrades to Highway 8 on a sufficiently timely basis. Without such policies, its build out could result in overloading the highway's capacity. Given that Highway 8 is an important transportation link within the County, the ASP needs to ensure that its development will not impose undue inconvenience on other users of Highway 8.

Concerns with open space, parks & trails

The Elbow View ASP presents itself as "leading with landscape" – putting great emphasis on working within the existing ecological systems and sensitive natural features. It presents the substantial open space, parks, trails networks, and access to the Elbow River – all of which it asserts will be major attractions for both residents and members of the broader public to enjoy.

These features of the proposed development sound appealing. However, there are two significant concerns with this important aspect of the ASP.

Firstly, the ASP's landowner group do not own most of the land required to provide river access. They also do not own the land the ASP presents as the village core on the north side of Highway 8 – a major feature of the ASP's planned community. The

3

rationale for this ASP depends on all its pieces fitting together into a coherent planned community. Given that, it is not clear how it is possible to approve a developer-funded ASP when the sponsoring landowners cannot ensure delivery of their promised amenities.

Secondly, the ASP fails to discuss how the significant open space will be maintained. This space is all portrayed as publicly accessible parks, trails, and other open space amenities. In planned communities such as Elbow Valley, the substantial open space is maintained by the residents' homeowner association and, as a result, is not publicly accessible. If these amenities are going to actually be accessible by the general public and not just residents in the community, who will be paying the maintenance costs associated with them?

Disturbing mixed messaging about commercial development

The ASP's text describes its proposed commercial development as intended to "promote a small town main street building scale, experience and aesthetic that promotes a sense of local community and unique retail experiences". The ASP also provides for what it calls "neighbourhood commercial" that it describes as "small format retail opportunities ... to support everyday life".

Those descriptions appear to be in keeping with the concept the ASP is presenting of a relaxed residential community rooted in the local environment and ecology. However, the detailed policies in the ASP do not deliver on that vision. Instead, they provide for large-scale commercial. The policies speak to "neighbourhood commercial" buildings being up to 64,583 square feet in size – that is a store that occupies 1½ acres. Most people would not describe a store of that magnitude as "small format". The ASP also provides for mixed-use commercial developments in the residential areas which will permit stores of up to 35,000 square feet – again, not what most people would anticipate given the ASP's verbiage.

Inconsistencies with the County Plan

The County Plan's residential policies do not support the creation of planned communities of the magnitude or density proposed in the Elbow View ASP.

Policy 5.13 of the County Plan explicitly states that its intention is to "direct high density forms of residential development to adjacent urban municipalities". The residential densities proposed in this ASP range from 3.5 to 7.5 upa — even at its lower range, substantially higher density than country residential. Policy 5.13 clearly states that such proposals are not in keeping with the County Plan and belong in neighbouring urban municipalities.

It is also worth noting that, contrary to the ASP's assertions, the County Plan does not present its alternative format for country residential development as including "commercial development and having hamlet-like qualities". That is a complete misrepresentation of the County Plan's policies.

The ASP conveniently does not compare its proposed commercial development with the relevant policies in the County Plan. That is because it is completely inconsistent with those policies. Section 14 of the County, which deals with business development, makes it clear that its overall objective is to direct the majority of new commercial and industrial development to the business areas identified in the County Plan. The Elbow View ASP is nowhere near any of those identified business areas. As a result, the County Plan does not support commercial development in this location.

To maintain consistency with the County Plan, the ASP would have to make the case that it fit within the County Plan policies for "other business development". It doesn't try to do that, probably because it would be difficult, if not impossible, to plausibly fit within those policy requirements.

To justify a new business development outside of the existing business areas, the County Plan requires a rationale that explains why the business cannot be located in one of the approved business areas. The County Plan also requires that "proposals for business development outside of a business area should be limited in size, scale, intensity and scope". The scale of Elbow View's commercial development, which will occupy 50 acres plus undetermined additional "neighbour commercial", cannot be described as "limited" in any way.

Conclusions

The points raised in the submission only touch on a few of the most glaring reasons why this ASP should not be approved. Any of them, on their own, should be sufficient to reject this application. In combination, they provide overwhelming reasons to reject it.

From: Springbank Community Association <springbankcommunityassociation@gmail.com>

Sent: April 28, 2021 1:53 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Cc: Al Schmidt; Division 3, Kevin Hanson; Division 2, Kim McKylor

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Attachments: SCA - Letter to Rocky View Elbow View ASP Public Hearing 28Apr2021.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Please see attached submission from the Springbank Community Association regarding the Elbow View ASP.

--

Karin Hunter *President*



https://springbankcommunity.com/

https://www.facebook.com/springbankcommunityassociation



April 28, 2021

Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

Delivered by email: legislativeservices@RockyView.ca

Re: Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

The Springbank Community Association is concerned that the process undertaken to advance the Elbow View ASP application has been unduly accelerated, and has not provided adequate time or appropriate forums for public engagement on a proposal that is even larger than Harmony.

Additionally, we do not believe the proposed Elbow View Area Structure Plan is consistent with the growth vision and strategy adopted by Rocky View County in its County Plan (BYLAW C-7280-2013 AMENDED APRIL 10, 2018 the "County Plan"). We recognize that the new Municipal Development Plan ("MDP") has passed second reading, but it is not yet passed third and final reading to replace the County Plan. Our understanding is the existing County Plan is still the guiding document under which the Elbow View ASP will be reviewed.

We believe this to be the first developer-funded ASP to go before Council, and as such, we request that careful thought be given to the process by which this ASP was developed. One area of concern for us is that engagement for Elbow View was developer-led and reported. We do not believe this engagement process is in the best interest of RVC residents. Our view is that engagement should be planned, conducted and reported by an independent expert without a vested interest in the project, with the costs for this borne by the developer.

We understand that pandemic circumstances of the past year have altered the traditional methods and formats used for community engagement and, if this was a localized Conceptual Scheme with a limited number of affected parties, perhaps the engagement processes undertaken might be considered adequate. The major scale of this project, along with its location along a major transportation corridor, proximity to the Elbow River, and existence of important environmental components, will result in substantial impacts on the residents of Springbank generally, and the Elbow Valley in particular. For these reasons, we believe that any decisions on this application should be delayed until more complete public consultation can be completed, including in-person public information sessions. We point out that the engagement processes for the recently-revised Springbank ASP were much more extensive, and provided multiple opportunities and formats for information exchange with affected parties.

As part of a more extensive public consultation, we believe the proposed Elbow View Area Structure Plan needs to provide more specific guidance in a number of areas, including but not limited to: limitation of commercial building/business formats, limitations on building heights, recreation and community amenities, guidance related to community design characteristics, and support for the dark sky principles adopted for Springbank (and Rocky View County).

Additionally, in the past, recreation boards reviewed these types of proposals with a view to community amenities. Given the recreation boards were disbanded, is there an independent body at the County reviewing developments, conceptual schemes and ASPs to ensure that area residents long-term recreation and community goals are supported? How has the role of recreation boards been accommodated in the current planning process?

Responsible development requires adherence to a long-term growth strategy if a region is going to evolve to fulfill its vision for the future. The County Plan simply does <u>NOT SUPPORT</u> this application.

Under Vision and Principles, Section 2.1 of the County Plan speaks to the nature of residential growth as follows: "Encourage a 'moderate' level of residential growth that preserves and retains the County's rural character." Similarly, a fundamental element of the Growth Management Strategy in the County Plan is stated as "The majority of county residents have indicated they are willing to accept "some" or a "moderate amount of" residential growth provided it is properly implemented, financed, and environmentally sound."

Section 5 of the County Plan is entitled "Managing Residential Growth", and includes the following introduction: "Section 5 identifies the desired residential growth levels, growth locations, and the criteria under which development will be evaluated." (emphasis added). One of the stated "Goals" in this Section 5 is to: "Manage residential growth so that it conforms to the County's environmental, fiscal, and community goals; and so that the rural character of the county is retained." (emphasis added).

Section 5 of the County Plan goes on to include the following key principles related to hamlet development:

- 5.4 New hamlet development should not be considered unless (i) existing overall hamlet residential potential is not being significantly developed, and (ii) a need and rationale for a new hamlet has been demonstrated based on the following criteria:
 - a. consistency with the County's residential population goals;
 - b. is an appropriately located development within the existing settlement pattern;
 - c. opportunity for community input;
 - d. meeting the financial, environmental, community infrastructure goals of this Plan; and
 - e. market demand.
- 5.5 In order to retain rural character and a sense of community, consideration should be given to the ultimate size of a hamlet. To retain these qualities, the County considers the upper population limit of a hamlet community to be in the range of 5,000 10,000 residents (emphasis added). Hamlet size shall be determined based on the following criteria:

- a. County residential population goals;
- b. existing hamlet population goals;
- c. community input; d. local commercial service requirements;
- e. fiscal impact;
- f. infrastructure capacity; and
- g. retaining rural character.

The County Plan emphasizes the importance of maintaining Rocky View County's distinct character:

"The rural nature and importance of country residential, hamlet, and agricultural communities must be maintained."

It goes on to summarize (in Table 3) a number of the characteristics that capture Rocky View's rural sense and feel, and directs that: "These characteristics should be considered in planning, design, and development of a rural community." (Section B Introduction)

We refer Council to the Information Session hosted by the Elbow View ASP proponents earlier this week, and note the limited references to the County Plan in the proponent's presentation or responses to questions submitted online to this virtual session. The County Plan is a statutory document that operates as Rocky View County's master development policy, and the County is legally obligated to use it as its foundation for evaluation and approval of all Area Structure Plans. As such, the County Plan should have been the proponent's principal basis for development of the proposed the Elbow View Area Structure Plan, and we are disappointed at the limited number of references to the County Plan in the proposed Area Structure Plan.

We ask Council to be thoughtful stewards of responsible development in Rocky View County, and to ensure that growth continues to follow the vision set forth in our County Plan. In that regard, we encourage Council to follow the statutory requirements of the County Plan in rejecting or deferring approval of the proposed Elbow View Area Structure Plan at this time, and submit that if Council wishes to consider approval of the proposed ASP, the County Plan should be modified in advance of its approval.

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns.

Regards,

Karin Hunter

President, Springbank Community Association

From: Tracey Feist <tracey.feist@outlook.com>

Sent: April 19, 2021 8:41 PM

To: Michelle Mitton

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] - Landowner consultation Elbow View ASP

Follow Up Flag: Follow up **Flag Status:** Flagged

Yes please. I also wish to state, as an official submission on the ASP, that the Rocky View County Council should not have allowed a developer funded ASP—which is what Elbow View West is. This seems to contradict the very purpose of an area structure plan.

Thank you,

Tracey Feist, APR Elbow Valley Resources Inc. Bar Open A Ranches Ltd. AB Cell: 403-540-5945 CO Cell: 720-254-6194

From: MMitton@rockyview.ca < MMitton@rockyview.ca>

Date: Monday, April 19, 2021 at 5:05 PM

To: tracey.feist@outlook.com <tracey.feist@outlook.com>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] - Landowner consultation Elbow View ASP

Good afternoon Tracey,

Did you want the comments below as an official submission on the ASP?

Thank you Michelle

MICHELLE MITTON, M.Sc

Legislative Officer | Legislative Services

ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

262075 Rocky View Point | Rocky View County | AB | T4A 0X2

Phone: 403-520- 1290 |

MMitton@rockyview.ca | www.rockyview.ca

This e-mail, including any attachments, may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this information is prohibited and unlawful. If you received this communication in error, please reply immediately to let me know and then delete this e-mail. Thank you.

From: Tracey Feist <tracey.feist@outlook.com>

Sent: April 19, 2021 11:54 AM

To: adam.harrison@o2design.com; Legislative Services Shared <LegislativeServices@rockyview.ca>

Cc: Division 1, Mark Kamachi < MKamachi@rockyview.ca>; Division 2, Kim McKylor < KMcKylor@rockyview.ca>; Division

3, Kevin Hanson < Kevin. Hanson@rockyview.ca>; Jessica Anderson < JAnderson@rockyview.ca>; Kent Robinson < kRobinson@rockyview.ca>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Landowner consultation Elbow View ASP

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Adam,

As a nearby landowner to this project, I wish to attend your virtual information session on Monday April 26.

https://www.rockyview.ca/Portals/0/Files/BuildingPlanning/Planning/UnderReview/ElbowView/ElbowViewASP-Information-Session-Invitation-April2021.pdf

I have multiple questions with regard to the proposed Elbow View West development. I find it ironic that throughout the Elbow View ASP images of serene landscapes have been used. It will be anything but should this project proceed.

Those questions are:

- 1. While I am aware that Qualico purchased Rob Matthew's property long ago, it uses the misleading "Double Creek Ranch" on the County land map. It's anything but a working ranch. What about the other adjacent landowners? And, are there plans of expropriation should those landowners be opposed?
- 2. There is no highway infrastructure to support this project. Where are you at with working with Alberta Transportation (AT) on this project, and of course, engaging with those of us who live along Highway 8? In talking with a recent AT representative on the future twinning of Highway 8, he mentioned it could be years away. This is a direct quote from that meeting: "The future interchange [Hwy 8 and 22] is probably I'd say 30-40 years out. As for twinning highway 8 it is not on our current program. It could be anywhere from 5, 10, 15 years out. It all depends on provincial party and funding." (Microsoft Teams meeting with Jerry Lau of Alberta Transportation on April 8, 2021.)

There is a road allowance along Tsuu T'ina nation, that I believe RVC should be utilizing for a better, direct access to Bragg Creek. There are no homes along that tract of road allowance and it would provide a better twinning situation rather than along Highway 8. It may provide a more cost efficient location so that Highway 8 is not in a massive construction zone for years to come. That is what road allowances were intended for when they are created many years ago.

- 3. If twinning Highway 8 comes to fruition, what about the twinning all the way to Bragg Creek? Are there current negotiations with Tsuu T'ina Nation? I'm not sure if you have travelled Highway 22 to Bragg on a spring or summer day, but often times the highway is at a standstill all the way from the 4 way intersection to the entrance of the Redwood Meadows Golf Course. Again these are all questions that need to be thought through, because if Elbow View West proceeds, it will bring massive amounts of traffic to a current highway that can't support it.
- 4. What about water, sewage and schools? Springbank schools are over capacity and yet you want to put high density housing: 2,200 acres with up to 25,000 people over 30 years? It seems that the development comes first and the important infrastructure is an afterthought.

I'll use this Elbow Valley West Conceptual Scheme document from RVC, dated March 9, 2004 [PDF page 18] where it shows intended schools. This is almost 20 years later and still no schools have been built.

This statement on the RVC website almost seems contradictory:

ATTACHMENT 'C': PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS

E-2 - Attachment C Page 26 of 149

"Rural living is rich and rewarding, yet it is important that new residents know that rural life in Rocky View County is very different from life in the city. <u>Agriculture</u> greatly shapes the economic, cultural and social fabric of the County. You have chosen to live in a rural setting among ranch and farm families. You can expect to share many of the benefits and challenges they enjoy, like open space and tranquility, wildlife sightings, variable weather and road conditions."

Recently it seems that Rocky View County has been keen on trading its agricultural land base for developments such as Elbow View West, thus minimizing its rural setting. Why is this? For the lure of future tax dollars? Many individuals, who are fortunate to live west of Calgary, do so because they want to get away from the hustle of the city. I can tell you that the highway noise (believe me I know how many truckers use their engine retarder brakes in the middle of the night) is only bound to get worse. And the garbage in the ditches that drift onto our land only grows each year.

Perhaps the greatest threat of development in this area is that it still will not be protected from flooding along the Elbow River, even if the NRCB approves the Springbank Off Stream Reservoir.

U of C professor of geoscience (with a specialization of groundwater and surface water) Dr. Cathryn Ryan says massive tracts of native grassland and important ecosystems along the Elbow River should be protected. In this article <u>"Protecting Ground Water is key to Elbow River Health"</u> from June 11, 2019 Dr. Ryan is quoted as follows:

Cathy Ryan, a professor in the Department of Geoscience at the University of Calgary, makes these points to illustrate how important it is to protect the Elbow and its aquifer. Since the aquifer is directly hydraulically connected to the river, Ryan said, any river activities can directly affect water quality. Ryan is concerned that the Elbow is not being adequately protected because it's "a casualty to the fact that people want to live close to rivers."

A proposed new development along Highway 8 west of Elbow Valley could add 7,000 housing units and close to 19,000 residents in a 930-hectare area south of the Elbow River. Can the Elbow sustain more people? Campbell believes the river can support more people than it currently does, but only with careful management. "In my opinion," she said in an email, "maintaining the quality of the water in the river (and aquifer) requires treating it as a park, maintaining a 2-km setback from the river for any development, with more intensive developments set outside the alluvial aquifer entirely."

Ryan says that the Elbow River has shown a steady water quality decline over decades (first reported in 1999 by Al Sosiak and reinforced in 2005 by Jamie Dixon and Al Sosiak). She and Campbell agree that people's desire for waterfront living exacerbates the problem. "Unfortunately, human beings like to live right beside the water," Campbell said. "We create our own problems."

Ryan agrees. "Somehow, the land use on the Elbow River aquifer should be protected to activities that don't contribute to groundwater quality degradation, discharge effluent to the river, and aren't susceptible to flooding."

Yet RVC continues to allow development along the Elbow River? Riparian areas along the Elbow need to be protected. Remember, the city of Calgary gets 40% of its water from the Elbow, and the Elbow is 1/10th the size of the Bow River. This water source should be protected.

I have cc'd several members of RVC on this email. It is important for them to hear from their constituents directly. Once this land is taken out of agricultural use, it's gone forever.

ATTACHMENT 'C': PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS

E-2 - Attachment C Page 27 of 149

Only 30 per cent of the land in this province is privately owned. Landowners are having to fight to keep their land away from hungry developers. And unfortunately our elected officials seem intent on ensuring the developers get what they want despite their constituent's opposition.

I am very hopeful with the assignment of interim CAO Kent Robinson and that his leadership will properly shape the future of this county for many generations to come. I recognize that we live close to a massive city. However future development needs to proceed thoughtfully and very carefully.

Regards,

Tracey Feist, APR Elbow Valley Resources Inc. Bar Open A Ranches Ltd. AB Cell: 403-540-5945

CO Cell: 720-254-6194

From:

Sent: April 21, 2021 6:08 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Public Hearing May 11, 2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello,

With regards to the notice.

As a landowner, I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thank you, Angelika DaSilva

From: Ashley Orton

Sent: April 27, 2021 1:36 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020 **Attachments:** Ashley Orton Letter to Legislative Services 04-27-2021l.pdf

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Dear Sirs,

Please see attached letter supporting the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Regards, Ashley Orton Landowner Ashley Orton #243 13888 – 70th Avenue Surrey, B.C. V3W 0R8

April 26, 2021

To: **Legislative Services** 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

Re: Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Dear Sirs:

With respect to the above, I am a landowner in this project and I strongly support the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Regards,

Ashley Orton

From: barbaramarvin

Sent: April 22, 2021 7:56 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Cc: me20

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I approve of this plan.

Thank you, Barb Marvin

Part owner Fox Creek

From: Monique Cowie

Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 11:04 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern,

I support the **Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020**.

Brian Cowie

Sent from my iPhone

From: Bruce Nelligan

Sent: April 20, 2021 9:08 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Attachments: Letter to RVC - Elbow View ASP.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Please see attached letter.

O tnemdosttA - S-3 641 to 45 agsq

ATTACHMENT 'C': PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS

Bruce Nelligan 240126 Range Rd. 32 Rocky View County, AB T32 1M3

1202, 2021

Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB

RE: Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Members of Council,

My family and I have lived in West Meadows Estates for almost 8 years. Our property takes access from Range Rd. 32 which forms the east boundary of the Elbow View ASP. I have been aware of this ASP for some time and was particularly interested in a few specific aspects of the plan including the land use strategy, the proposed trails, pathways and road network and how the ASP addresses the Tsuut'ina Nation lands to the south.

Regarding the land use strategy, I was pleased to see some commercial nodes in the area. Although I understand a critical mass of density is required to support this land use, it is encouraging to see this being planned for so that residents in the area will eventually be able to visit local shops and restaurants on foot or bicycle as opposed to driving into the City.

The proposed pathways and trails system appears to be extensive and connects the commercial nodes to the rest of the plan area. The pathway network shows a connection across Hwy.8, west of Range Rd.33. For safety reasons, I would be concerned if this was planned to be an at-grade crossing unless the speed limit on Hwy.8 is reduced significantly.

The road network appears to be well thought out however, I am concerned about a potential road connection directly opposite our private driveway. Our driveway is currently shared by three landowners and has been constructed to a standard that makes drivers think that it is a public roadway. Having this connection to the Elbow View ASP area may cause more people to drive down our dead-end roadway thinking there might be a connection to the east. I would prefer to see this connection on Range Rd. 32 moved 100m further north.

I was pleased to see that the Tsuut'ina Nation has been consulted during the ASP process and that their concerns seem to have been addressed through the policies outlined in the Plan.

Overall, I am in support of the Elbow View ASP and appreciate the work by County Staff and the Consultant Team to put this comprehensive plan together.

Sincerely,

Sruce Melligan

From: Rob and Carolyn Gardner
Sent: April 21, 2021 3:05 PM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Support for Elbow View ASP Bylaw C8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Attention: Legislative Services for the County of Rocky View

This is just a follow up to the original support letter I submitted a year ago online.

As a landowner I continue to strongly <u>support</u> the <u>Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020</u>.

Best Regards,

Carolyn Gardner

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Christy El Hage

Sent: April 26, 2021 9:29 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Legislative Services,


I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Sincerely, Christy El Hage

ATTACHMENT 'C': PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS

E-2 - Attachment C Page 37 of 149

Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2 legislativeservices@rockyview.ca 403-230-1401



Re: Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

To whom it may Concern:

l'é le seuniai

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C- 811-2020.

Clare Wowniar

From: Daniel Sullivan

Sent: April 21, 2021 3:44 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Daniel Sullivan - I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw

C-8111-2020

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good afternoon RockyView County,

Please be advised that I provide my full support for the **Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020**.

Regards,

Danny Sullivan

From: Deanna Coyle

Sent: April 21, 2021 4:05 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I approve of this initiative and welcome it.

Thank you

Deanna Anderson-Coyle

From: Georgette Gascoyne

Sent: April 27, 2021 8:48 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Vote for Elbow

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hi, I support the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Don&Georgette Gascoyne

Sent from my iPhone

From: Donna Coupland

Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 1:16 PM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow Valley View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020 **Attachments:** Coupland Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020_001.pdf; ATT00001.htm

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Legislative Services

To Whom It May Concern,

Please see the attached letter regarding the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. Thank you

Regards,

Donna Coupland 4 Scimitar Rise NW Calgary, Alberta T3L 2C9 Donna Coupland 4 Scimitar Rise NW Calgary, Alberta T3L 2C9

April 25, 2021

Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

To Whom It May Concern,

Donna Coupland

Re: Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

I support the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Regards,

Donna Coupland

From: john winterdyk

Sent: April 26, 2021 9:50 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Cc: drjaw

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - re: A By-law of Rocky View County to adopt the Elbow View Area

Structure Plan (ASP)

Attachments: ElbowView2021_April26_21.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern,

Please find attached my letter in support of the by-law to adopt the Elbow Vallet Area Structure Plan.

Sincerely,

Dr. John Winterdyk

Attn.: Legislative Services Office

<u>LegislativeServices@rockyview.ca</u>

April 26, 2021

I, John Winterdyk, **support** the proposed by-law C-8111-2020 -- A By-law of Rocky View County to adopt the Elbow View Area Structure Plan (ASP) -- File #: 1013-220. I do so to guide future land use, subdivision, and development proposals within the plan area.

The following are my reasons for support of the proposed by-law:

- A sound land use strategy:
 - that provides for a variety of uses that is thoughtfully integrated into the landscape, and it is dynamic in its vision to respond to the future needs of its residents
 - that offers a symbiotic approach to the community and its interaction with the natural environment
- density, transition strategy:
 - thoughtfully considers surrounding communities and their impact on the existing communities by having the lowest density on the perimeter of the proposed development
 - o given that the proposed development would take place over several decades, the density and adaptation for neighbouring communities will allow for a natural transition
- parks, open spaces, and pathway systems:
 - will provide a well-developed interconnected system that will complement the diverse landscape and promote healthy and active living
 - o intends to utilize all the existing natural features
- transportation strategy:
 - the plan provides a well-conceived transportation network to minimize the impact on the natural environment while still providing a compelling variety of transportation options

Sincerely,

J. Winterdyk

Prof. John Winterdyk 55 West Springs Way, SW. Calgary, AB. T3H 4P4

From: Saeed Ghafari

Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 2:32 PM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View: ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may Concern:

I write to declare my full support for the above ASP for Elbow View. It is a well surveyed project which has been fully reviewed and a lot of work put into issues related to environmental factors as well as community needs and neighbours considerations.

This project is very well thought out with all due considerations to related matters and warrants full approval in my opinion.

Thank you for your time to read this note.

Respectfully

Dr. Saeed Ghafari

From: Ed King

Sent: April 22, 2021 10:28 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern,

As a Foxcreek Land Owner who previously submitted a support letter, I again would like to indicate my support for the above bylaw.

Sincerely Ed King

Heriot Bay, BC

From: Elie Harb

Sent:April 27, 2021 5:14 PMTo:Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello,

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Regards,

Elie

From: Manny

Sent: April 26, 2021 10:04 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I am a landowner on Highway 8 and I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Emmanuel Vergara

From: Eric Leonardo

Sent: April 22, 2021 4:13 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Cc: Eric Leonardo

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - ASP Bylaw C-811-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern
I support the Proposed Elbow View
ASP Bylaw C-811-2020
Sincerely
Eric Leonardo

Sent from my iPhone

From: Frank Brezsnyak

Sent: April 21, 2021 1:02 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello: I support the proposed Elbow View ASP, bylaw C8111-2020

Regards

Frank Brezsnyak

From: Frank Oblak

Sent: April 21, 2021 9:35 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

This email is to show that I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020 as a land owner.

Frank Oblak

From: Gabriel Kobel <gkobel@vertex.ca>

Sent: April 21, 2021 2:29 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Attn: Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

This email is to confirm my support for the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. Thank you

Gabriel Kobel CET

Operations Manager

Vertex Professional Services Ltd. 2000, 555 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 3E7

P 403.229.3969 ext.754 D 403.206.9763 C 403.650.1436 F 403.244.1202 www.vertex.ca



Confidentiality Notice: This message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use, or distribution of the information included in this message and any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by reply email and immediately and permanently delete this message and any attachments. Thank you. %1%

From: Gabriel Kobel Barlon Asset Management <gkobel@barlon.ca>

Sent: April 21, 2021 2:32 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Attn: Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

This email is to confirm my support for the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. Thank you

Gabriel Kobel, C.E.T.
President
BarlonAsset Management Ltd.
2000, 555, 4th Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2P 3E7
D 403.206.9763
C 403.650.1436

Email: gkobel@barlon.ca

From:

Sent: April 22, 2021 12:24 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Cc: gail.blashyn@calgaryunitedway.org

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

April 22, 2021

Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

To whom it may concern:

Please be advised that I want to notify Legislative Services in Rocky View County of my support for the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thank you,

Gail Blashyn

From: Gail Davis

Sent: April 28, 2021 6:43 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

As partial owner at NW 4 24 3 W5 area.

I am in support of the ASP for the Proposed Elbow View Bylaw C-8111-2020.

I see it as a great asset to the area for living and working. Look forward to this new development.

Gail Davis

From: Garry Blashyn

Sent: April 22, 2021 5:00 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

April 22, 2021

Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

To whom it may concern:

Please be advised that I want to notify Legislative Services in Rocky View County of my support for the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thank you,

Garry Blashyn

From: gurmail bhattal

Sent: April 27, 2021 11:01 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C8111-2020 (gurmail singh bhattal 12

windhorse bay T3z0B4)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Thanks. I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Hanna Winiecka From:

April 22, 2021 12:53 PM Sent: To: Legislative Services Shared

[EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. Subject:

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. regards,

Hanna Krol

From: Kate Fenner

Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 9:23 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Dear Sir or Madam,

I support the proposed Elbow View ASP By law C-8111-2020

Regards,

Ille Kate Fenner

From: Jacqueline Michael

Sent: April 27, 2021 1:14 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Attachments: Letter to Legislative Services 04-27-2021l.pdf

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Dear Sirs,

Please see attached letter supporting the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Regards, Jacqueline Michael Landowner Jacqueline Michael 8380 Centre Street Delta, BC V4C 3X4

April 26, 2021

To: **Legislative Services** 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

Re: Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Dear Sirs:

With respect to the above, I am a landowner in this project and I strongly support the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Regards,

Jacqueline Michael

Michael

From: Aaron West

Sent: April 21, 2021 11:08 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To Whom It May Concern:

I support the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline West

From: Jaimie

Sent:April 21, 2021 1:50 PMTo:Legislative Services SharedSubject:[EXTERNAL] - Elbow View ASP

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Jaimie Falconer

From: Jan Bloemraad <jbloemraad@glencoe.org>

Sent: April 28, 2021 2:23 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View ASP - Re0dubmittal of email

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern,

Please find below an email that was sent Tuesday, November 17, 2021 and being resubmitted for the package to council.

If you have any questions please let me know.

Jan



JAN BLOEMRAAD, CCM Chief Executive Officer 403-287-4128 The Glencoe Club
The Glencoe Golf & Country Club
636 - 29 Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2S 0P1



Good afternoon Jessica,

I received your email address from Adam Harrison from O2 Planning + Design. I requested your email as I would like to officially provide you an email of support for the potential Elbow View development.

Please accept this email as an official support from not only myself, but the Glencoe Golf & Country Club. I discussed the proposed structure plan with our Board Executive last night, and they have instructed me to communicate on behalf of the Club.

We see Elbow View as a great opportunity for increased development in the area, to add some additional infrastructure to the Highway 8 area as well as support services and commercial opportunities.

As a large community partner adjacent to Elbow View, we fully support the project plan for concept development and public engagement.

If you would like to have a more official letter of support, please let me know. Otherwise consider this email as the Club's stance on this exciting opportunity for growth in the area.

Sincerely,

Jan Bloemraad C.E.O.

Ja Bl

From: Jeff Young

Sent: April 28, 2021 9:37 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - BYLAW C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Letter of Support for Bylaw C-8111-2020 - A Bylaw of Rocky View County to Adapt the Elbow View Area Structure Plan

Jeff Young 4920 20A St SW Calgary, AB T2T 5A6

To Rockyview Council of Legislative Services Offices,

It is my pleasure to write this letter in support of the Bylaw C-8111-2020 to adapt the structure plan proposed.

Elbow View has done an excellent job working with the community in and around Rocky View to address all concerns and develop a comprehensive plan for land use in this area. It is for this reason I support the proposed plans for the land use and development of the community.

Sincerely,

Jeff Young

From: Mo & Jenny Jessa

Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 10:17 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Jenny Jessa 112 Jedburgh Place Victoria, BC V9B 6N7

From: Danita Clavelle-Cormier

Sent: April 21, 2021 11:46 AM

To: David Brezsnyak; Legislative Services Shared **Subject:** [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good day,

Please be informed that we support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw.

Regards

Jim Cormier and Danita Clavelle-Cormier Fox Creek Landowners via Westgate Land Development Corporation

From: Joan and Brian

Sent: April 25, 2021 3:31 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I confirm my previous letter and fully support the above-mentioned Proposed Bylaw.

Thank you.

Joan Cameron 16 Mary's Emerald Bay Rd Vernon, BC V1H 2A7

From: Regena Brezsnyak

Sent: April 23, 2021 10:30 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - I support the PROPOSED ELBOW VALLEY ASP BYLAW C. 8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

John Brezsnyak

From: Julie Pithers

Sent: April 27, 2021 11:01 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag **Status:** Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello,

I am a landowner on the eastern border of the proposed Elbow View development. Overall, I am FOR this proposal, however I am looking for council to ensure our legacy community is not hurt or left behind as the Highway 8/Elbow Valley region is built out—providing much needed tax dollars for the county.

Previously our community, West Meadows Estates, supported the building out of Elbow Valley West on our northern border, only to be faced with on going stormwater damage (as of the writing of this email—still unmitigated for many neighbours). The county must provide much superior oversight this time—particularly when the scope is so much larger.

Any infrastructure provided for or by Elbow View must be shared with West Meadows Estates, otherwise we will be asked to withstand decades of construction upwind and upstream from us—without any benefit to our community. Our aquifer may also be jeopardized by the massive amounts of land being moved and stormwater ponds built. By linking up the communities between Elbow View and the City, I believe, follows the county's mission to infill neighbourhoods rather than leap-frogging.

However, I am in no way supportive of a) raw water being drawn off the Elbow for 6000 households and/or b) a privately run wastewater facility situated upstream of the western edge of our community.

I am COMPLETELY in support of (FOR) Rocky View working with the City of Calgary to supply water, sewer and stormwater facilities for Elbow View and the neighbouring communities—I'm sure the Elbow Valleys and BraeMar would be more than pleased to be released from the grip of Westridge and/or dealing with other privately run water supplies. (FYI Westridge never returned any of our community association's calls to supply our neighbourhood with piped water.) The issue of the City requiring density in our neighbourhood and others, like Elbow River Estates, could be mitigated through a grandfathering like the city already has with recently annexed land where landowners must hook up to the City infrastructure if and when they develop.

And finally, the plan shows several paths and roads leading into our community. This is welcome only if there is an effort made to provide safe, separate and lit paths (of the low-level/dark-skies lighting variety) for pedestrians and cyclists. Currently dozens and sometimes hundreds of people walk the West Meadows Estates Road and RR32 where speed limits go as high as 80km/h without paths, lighting or even a shoulder.

Elbow View's ASP also shows RR32 as a feeder road for HWY 8—adding hundreds of vehicles to a community held to two-acre-max lots and with the roads to match. Our safety must be considered before allowing this ASP to go through.

Overall, the Elbow View plan seems like it could accomplish the best of all worlds by being a livable, environmentally friendly and welcoming neighbourhood. I just request it be the tide to lift all boats to make our water safer, our communities more diverse and ensure we do not become a land of haves and have-nots. We are all connected.

Thank you for your time.

Julie Pithers 48 West Meadows Estates Rd T3Z1M5

From: Karen Allan

Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 3:11 PM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Karen Allan

From: Karen Anderson

Sent: April 21, 2021 11:47 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello. I support the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Karen Anderson

From: Karen Kobel

Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 9:26 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

>

- > Attn: Legislative Services
- > 262075 Rocky View Point
- > Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

>

> This email is to confirm my support for the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. Thank you

Sent from Karen's iPhone

From:

Sent:

April 22, 2021 1:03 PM

Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Supporting - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Attachments: 2nd Public Reading May 11, 2021.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hi,

I support attached Foxcreek plan.

Kind regards, Kavita



Westside Land Corporation 1855, 246 Stewart Green SW Calgary AB T3H 3C8

May 11, 2021 at or after 9:00 a.m.

Rocky View County Council will hold a Public Hearing on the date and time indicated above to consider the proposed bylaw below. Due to the County Hall being closed in response to Covid-19, Public Hearings will be held electronically and a livestream of the proceedings may be viewed at www.rockyview.ca.

Bylaw C-8111-2020 - A Bylaw of Rocky View County to Adopt the Elbow View Area Structure Plan

File Number:

1013-220

Application Details:

Public hearing to consider Bylaw C-8111-2020, to adopt the Elbow View Area Structure Plan to guide future land use, subdivision, and development proposals within the plan area. Located south of the Elbow River and north of T'suu Tina

Nation, west of the city of Calgary.

Any person who deems that they or their property is affected by the proposed bylaw will be provided an opportunity to be heard at the Public Hearing. A copy of the proposed bylaw and associated staff report will be made available to the public in the agenda package on the County's website or at the County Hall beginning at 12:00 PM on Wednesday, May 5, 2021. Questions regarding the proposed bylaw may be directed to Jessica Anderson at 403-520-8184 or email at ianderson@rockyview.ca.

You may address Council on the proposed bylaw by submitting a written submission to the Legislative Services Office with BYLAW C-8111-2020 in the subject line using one of the following methods:

- Email to legislativeservices@rockyview.ca
- Mail or delivery to the County Hall
- Fax to (403) 520-1659

Written submissions must include your name and address or legal description, and please clearly indicate whether you support or oppose the proposed bylaw and provide reasons. Written submissions must be received by 4:30 PM on Wednesday, April 28, 2021 to be included in the agenda package for the Public Hearing.

Addressing Council during the Public Hearing:

Please note that the County Hall remains closed to the public due to Covid-19 and is proceeding with the public hearing electronically in accordance with the Meeting Procedures (COVID-19 Suppression) Regulation. Members of the public who would normally provide submissions in person at the public hearing may provide their submissions as noted below:

- a) If it was your intention to appear in person to present in favor or in opposition of the application during the public hearing, you may submit a pre-recorded video or audio presentation to be played during the public hearing. Pre-recorded presentations can be no longer than 5 minutes if you are speaking on behalf of yourself and 10 minutes if you are speaking on behalf of a group.
 - The video or audio presentation must include your name and the municipality in which you live, and if you are speaking on behalf of a group you must also provide the names and municipalities of the people you are speaking on behalf of. Audio must be submitted as a MP3 and cannot be more than 20MB in size, and video must be submitted as a MP4, MOV, or WMV format, and cannot be more than 300 MB in size. Files must be submitted to the following link
 - https://app.box.com/f/f27dcfa5a49e4bfe906aeb9f4c7460d3 and must be submitted no later than noon the day before the public hearing.
- b) If it was your intention to appear in person to provide submissions in favor or in opposition of the application during the public hearing, you may also submit an email live during the public hearing to

be distributed during the public hearing. Your email submission must include the bylaw number in the subject line and your first and last name and municipal address or legal land description in the body of the email.


Email submissions must be sent to PublicHearings@rockyview.ca as early as 9:00am on the day of the public hearing or during the public hearing. Council will be provided time to review email submissions during the public hearing. Emails received after the appropriate portion of the public hearing (in favour or in opposition) will not be provided to Council for consideration.

Please Note:

Personal information contained in your written submission is collected under section 33(c) of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* for the purpose of public participation in Rocky View County's decision-making process. Written submissions may be made available to the public prior to or at the Public Hearing in accordance with section 40(1)(c) of the *FOIP Act*.

Your name, legal land description, street address, and any opinions provided in your written submission may be made available to the public and form part of the public record. Your personal contact information, including your phone number and email address, will be redacted prior to making your written submission available to the public. If you have questions regarding the collection or release of this information, please contact Legislative Services at (403) 230-1401.

SITE MAP



Dated: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 Michelle Mitton

Legislative Coordinator

From: Kay Svederus

Sent:April 28, 2021 8:34 AMTo:Legislative Services SharedSubject:[EXTERNAL] - Elbow view ASP

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom this may involve. I approve of the proposed Elbow View ASP C 8111 2020. Thanks, Kay

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Keir Olson

Sent: April 28, 2021 1:55 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020 - I'm in favour

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern,

I, Keir Olson, owner of 1 land unit in Foxcreek Park, am in favour of Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thanks, Keir Olson

From: Kelly Stearns

Sent: April 28, 2021 3:49 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

File number 1013-220 Elbow Valley Area Structure Plan

To Whom This May Concern: My name is Kelly Stearns

Mailing Address:

Legal Description: NW 4-24-3 W5M

I am a 2/637 owner of the subject property which was facilitated by Westside Land Corporation. I would like to express my support for the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020 as I believe that the ASP proposal is well thought out and fits in nicely with the current development in the area, it would be a benefit to Rocky View County and the surrounding area.

If you have any questions regarding my support, please contact me at

Thanks

Kelly Stearns

From: Kelly Wong

Sent:April 21, 2021 2:57 PMTo:Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thank you,

Kelly Wong 60 Riverglen Way SE Calgary, AB T2C 3J1

From: Kevin Erne < kevin.erne@engineeredair.com>

Sent: April 27, 2021 12:58 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good Afternoon,

Please regard this email as my formal support for the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Best Regards,

Kevin Erne P. Eng.

Canadian General Manager

403-538-3897 direct 1401 Hastings Crescent SE - Head Office

 403-708-3349 mobile
 Calgary, AB T2G 4C8

 403-243-5059 fax
 www.engineeredair.com

kevin.erne@engineeredair.com email



This email is confidential and meant only for the recipients listed above.

privacy policy / legal

<u>statement</u>



From: Hucik, Kyle

Sent: April 27, 2021 4:04 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Kyle

From: Lance Parker

Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 6:37 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Acting Inspector Lance Parker #2351

Duty Officer

Edmonton Police Service

CONFIDENTIALITY CAUTION:

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it has been addressed and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this communication has been received in error, respond immediately via telephone or return e-mail, and delete all copies of this material.

From: Laurie Galipeau

Sent:April 22, 2021 10:12 AMTo:Legislative Services SharedSubject:[EXTERNAL] - Support letter

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the proposed ElbowView ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. Laurie Galipeau

Sent from my iPhone

From: Lee Lindroth

Sent: April 27, 2021 12:44 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Lee Lindroth

From: Leszek Ptaszynski

Sent: April 21, 2021 12:10 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Foxcreek Land Owners declaration

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello,

As we are both the land owners, we declare and confirm that we support the **Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020**.

Sincerely, Leszek Ptaszynski Malgorzata Ptaszynska

From: Linda Schiefke

Sent: April 27, 2021 4:58 PM

To: Legislative Services Shared; linda schiefke

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View Bylaw

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good afternoon

This email is to support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thank you

--

Linda Schiefke

From: Lisa Wolny

Sent: April 27, 2021 7:59 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good evening,

Please accept this email as written support of the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. I am In full support of the subject ASP and feel it is an important development that provides many benefits to the area.

Thank you,

Lisa Wolny

Author, Speaker, Health & Business Coach "Live Your Best Life"

From: Lisa Zaharia

Sent: April 21, 2021 7:19 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello,

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Lisa

Lisa Zaharia

From:

Sent: April 25, 2021 3:48 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To Whom it may concern,

I am a land owner on highway 8. Please take this e-mail as evidence of my continued support for the **Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020**.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thank you

Lynda McNie

From: Mandip Sandher

Sent: April 21, 2021 3:07 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Cc: Raj Sandher

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To: Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2 <u>legislativeservices@rockyview.ca</u> 403-230-1401

Dear Sirs

Please find this letter as our confirmation that we support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Regards

Mandip and Rajvinder Sandher 7 Northlawn Avenue Waterdown Ontario L8B 0E4

From:

Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 6:53 AM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

We support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thank you, Marc and Tammy Prince

Sent from my iPhone

From: Valerie Watson

Sent: April 21, 2021 4:42 PM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - bylaw

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

April 20/2020

I/ We support the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Thanks you.

Mark & Valerie Watson Dusty Rose Ranching

Rosermay, Alberta Canada

From: Mark Milligan

Sent: April 27, 2021 5:04 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

As an owner of Foxcreek Park Ltd. of Westside Land Corporation I fully support the proposed Elbow View ASP bylaw C-8111-2020.

Regards Mark

Sent from my iPad

Mark Milligan

From: Martin Harvey

Sent: April 23, 2021 10:30 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Re: Proposed bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good Morning,

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C 8111-2020

Thank You.

Martin Harvey

From: Martin McCann

Sent: April 23, 2021 8:39 AM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Please consider this email as confirmation of my support for BYLAW C-8111-2020.

Regards

Martin McCann

From: Matt Adams

Sent: April 22, 2021 9:19 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Matt Adams

Sent from my iPhone

From: Melissa Pacleb

Sent:April 22, 2021 9:19 PMTo:Legislative Services SharedSubject:[EXTERNAL] - Letter of Support

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To Whom It May Concern,

I, Melissa Pacleb, support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Sincerely,

Melissa Pacleb

From: Mike D

Sent: April 23, 2021 10:02 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern.

I am a land owner as part of a joint venture with Westside Land Corp within the bounds of the Elbow View Area Structure Plan.

I have reviewed the ASP and I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Michael Dohy

From: Michael Harvey

Sent: April 23, 2021 1:01 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Support for the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good Afternoon,

I would like to inform you of my support for the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thanks very much,

Michael Harvey

From: Michael Interisano

Sent: April 21, 2021 10:57 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may Concern,

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Thank You, Michael

From: Michael Knoll

Sent: April 22, 2021 2:46 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Attn: Legislative Services:

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Sincerely,

Michael Knoll

Michael Knoll 2130-70 Glamis Drive SW Calgary AB, T3E 6T6

From:

Sent: April 21, 2021 12:00 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I Michael Rojek, support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

From: Michael Strong

Sent: April 26, 2021 12:42 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Dear Rocky View Council-

This email is to confirm my personal support and endorsement of the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

As a landowner, I fully support this initiative and project plan.

Thank you for your consideration.

Michael

--



Thank you."

From:

Sent:April 21, 2021 3:34 PMTo:Legislative Services SharedCc:david@westsideland.ca

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I am a Foxcreek Land Owner and I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Thank you, Michele White

Michelle Mitton

From: Milos Gruber

Sent:April 25, 2021 8:33 PMTo:Legislative Services SharedSubject:[EXTERNAL] - Support

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Milos and Jindra Gruber

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From:

Nadine Beauchesne

April 28, 2021 3:06 PM

To:

Legislative Services Shared

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern:

I am currently a shareholder in the 137.5 acre parcel adjacent to the east boundary of the Spirit River golf course and fronting onto Highway 8. Having viewed and discussed the joint ASP application by Qualico and Legacy which includes this parcel I am satisfied that the plan as submitted provides an acceptable direction for future development of these lands. The approval and implementation of an ASP under current rules adequately allows for refinement and owner input to the final configuration of each affected parcel.

On this basis I indicate my support for this first step towards responsible planning and implementation.

Regards,

Nadine Beauchesne

Sent from my iPhone

Michelle Mitton

From: Norman Chamberlain <
Sent: April 28, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C8111-2020. Thank you. Regards, Norm Chamberlain

From: Teresa Imperato

Sent:April 22, 2021 4:43 PMTo:Legislative Services SharedSubject:[EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C-811-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

We support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. Thank you, Pasquale and Teresa Imperato

From: Peggy Sullivan <psullivan@optimaxdrilling.com>

Sent: April 21, 2021 2:55 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello,

This letter is to inform you of my full support for the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-200.

Regards,

Peggy Sullivan

Suite 1900 407 – 2nd Street SW Calgary, AB T2P 2Y3



www.optimaxdrilling.com

PRIVACY NOTICE The information contained in this document (including any and all attachments) is strictly confidential and is intended for use only by the recipient(s) unless otherwise indicated. Review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or the taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this document in error, please advise the sender immediately at the address shown above.

From: Raymond Moffat

Sent: April 23, 2021 2:55 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Support for Proposed elbow View ASP Bylaw c-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thank you

Ray Moffat

Michelle Mitton

From: Regena Brezsnyak

Sent: April 23, 2021 10:32 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - I support THE PROPOSED ELBOW VALLEY ASP BYLAW 8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Regena Brezsnyak

From: reima leonardo

Sent: April 22, 2021 5:51 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Re: Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111 -2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To Whom It May Concern

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Sincerely

Reima Leonardo

From:

Sent: April 28, 2021 3:35 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View Area Structure Plan

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

We support the proposed Elbow View ASP bylaw C-8111-2020. Robert and Sophie Swiderski

Sent from my iPhone

From: Rob and Carolyn Gardner
Sent: April 21, 2021 3:03 PM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Support for Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Attention: Legislative Services for the County of Rocky View

This is just a follow up to the original support letter I submitted a year ago online.

As a landowner I continue to strongly <u>support</u> the <u>Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020</u>.

Best Regards,

Robert Gardner

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Robert Meyer

Sent: April 21, 2021 2:37 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - by-law c-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the proposed Elbow View ASP by-law C-8111-2020. This seem to be a wise use of the land in a beautiful setting. What a place to have a home.

April 21, 2021

Robert Meyer a joint venture shares owner

Michelle Mitton

From: Ron Charbonneau

Sent: April 21, 2021 7:44 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - proposed elbow view bylaw

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I am a land owner for the proposed elbow view asp bylaw c-8111-2020 and i am in favor of the proposed bylaw as a land owner of fox creek with west side land corp thank you

From: Rosie

Sent: April 26, 2021 12:12 PM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject:[EXTERNAL] - Support of Bylaw C-8111-2020Attachments:Support Letter Bylaw C-8111-2020 .docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern,

Please find attached my letter in **support** of Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Buck

April 26, 2021

Attn.: Legislative Services Office Re: Support of Bylaw C-8111-2020

I, Rosemary Buck, **support** the proposed Bylaw C-8111-2020 -- A Bylaw of Rocky View County to Adopt the Elbow View Area Structure Plan (ASP) -- File #: 1013-220. I **support** the bylaw to guide future land use, subdivision, and development proposals within the plan area.

The following are my reasons for **support** of the proposed Elbow View Area Structure Plan. The plan has:

- ➤ A sound land use strategy:
 - that provides for a variety of uses that is thoughtfully integrated into the landscape, and it is dynamic in its vision to respond to the future needs of its residents
 - o that offers a symbiotic approach to the community and its interaction with the natural environment
- > A sound density, transition strategy:
 - thoughtfully considers surrounding communities and their impact on the existing communities by having the lowest density on the perimeter of the proposed development
 - o given that the proposed development would take place over several decades, the density and adaptation for neighbouring communities will allow for a natural transition
- A well-developed parks, open spaces, and pathway system:
 - o will provide a well-developed interconnected system that will complement the diverse landscape and promote healthy and active living
 - o intends to utilize all the existing natural features
- ➤ An suitable transportation strategy:
 - the plan provides a well-conceived transportation network to minimize the impact on the natural environment while still providing a compelling variety of transportation options

Sincerely,

Rosemary Buck

Rosemary Buck 55 West Springs Way, SW. Calgary, AB. T3H 4P4

From: Sean Meilleur

Sent: April 28, 2021 4:30 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Cc: 'priddisvalleyranch@yahoo.com'; Sean Meilleur - Personal; Adam Harrison

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C-8111-2020

Attachments: Elbow View ASP - Meilleur Support Letter - Apr 28 2021.pdf

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello, please find our support letter for the Elbow View ASP.

Can you please confirm that you have received our email and letter?

Thanks in advance for your help and opportunity to provide comments.

Regards, Sean Meilleur

On Behalf of Wayne & Patricia Meilleur

From: Adam Harrison <Adam.Harrison@o2design.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2021 3:42 PM

Subject: Elbow View ASP Submission Requirements

Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I'm reaching out to follow-up with you on the Elbow View Area Structure Plan, and where we are at in the process.

By now, you should have received a letter from the County informing you of the upcoming public hearing for the ASP on May 11. Here is a link to the notification, including information about submitting comments on the ASP: https://www.rockyview.ca/Portals/0/Files/Notices/2021/20210413-Council-Meeting-Public-Hearing-May11.pdf

The County requires that any letters/emails submitted for the project before the recent notice was issued for the public hearing be re-submitted in order to be included in the package for Council. As such, we're hoping that you are able to re-send your email to: legislativeservices@rockyview.ca by **4:30pm tomorrow (April 28)** and use the subject line "Bylaw C-8111-2020" for the email.

You can send the same letter you previously provided and just let them know that this letter should be considered as your submission for the public hearing, or you can provide an updated letter if you prefer.

E-2 - Attachment C Page 124 of 149

If you are unable to provide an email submission for tomorrow, you may submit an email on the day of the public hearing (starting 9:00a.m. on May 11) and it will be read by Council at the hearing. Alternately, if you wish, you can submit a audio or video comment by May 10.

Here is a link to the County's page regarding how to submit comments: https://www.rockyview.ca/presenting-to-council

We truly appreciate you taking the time to submit your comments, and apologize for the short notice as this information was only clarified for us today.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards, ADAM HARRISON, BURPI, BASc Planning

O2 Planning + Design Inc. 510 255 17 Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta Canada, T2S 2T8

E <u>adam.harrison@o2design.com</u> <u>www.o2design.com</u> To: County of Rockyview

Att: Jan Anderson & Adam Harrison

<u>development@rockyview.ca</u> <u>janderson@rockyview.ca</u>

adam.harrison@o2design.com

From: Wayne & Patricia Meilleur - Springshire Development Ltd.

CC: Sean Meilleur – Managing Director

Re: Elbow View Area Structure Plan (ASP)

Springshire Developments Ltd. would like to provide formal **support** for the Elbow View ASP that has recently been submitted to the County. Below is our background in the community, some builds for the planning process as it progresses and some inspiration for growth in the County for your consideration.

Background in Community: The Meilleur family is a unique stakeholder who represents a cross section of views of most Rockyview stakeholders. The Meilleur family have lived, grown and done business in Springbank since 1966 and proud Albertans who have been part of the change in the county for 55+ years.

Our company, Springshire Developments Ltd, has owned over 1,000 acres over the years and through our determination and vision, created some of the foundation subdivisions and communities that have formed the backbone and identity of the Springbank community including Springate, Springshire, River Ridge and Grandview Estates. We have own 142 acres of land within the Highway 8 Area corridor since 1989 at the SE9-24-3-W5 where homes are located and engage in agricultural pursuits like cattle grazing, equestrian, crops and tree horticulture.

The Meilleur family have been active in participants in evolving County Policy and Regulation including running for council in the mid-late 1980's, being on the board of directors for the Central Springbank ASP in the late 1990's and 1 of 6 community representatives of the Plan8 area structure plan from 2004-2008 who helped draft some of the original vision for Highway 8.

<u>Change Is Needed</u>: Our family has invested more than ½ a century into Rockyview. It has been a long journey of varied experiences. We offer our genuine opinion that the current status quo of Highway 8 is not sustainable and that a change like this ASP is needed for the Alberta and for our future generations.

Implementation of the Elbow Valley ASP would represent a significant and appropriate change. We would respectfully support this change and support the amendment to the Land Use Bylaw from Ranch and Farm District to Direct Control District of agriculture land to establish a land use framework for the future redesignation, subdivision and development of a comprehensively planned community. We believe that this new community would comprise of mix of traditional and innovative residential, commercial, institutional, community agriculture, sustainable energy and open space uses. Needless to say, and in keeping with landowner rights, any measures relating to potential modification of land holdings would be subject to the will and discretion of the landowner.

<u>Inspiration:</u> The Meilleur family believes that the Elbow View ASP would provide opportunities for new and innovative revenue and infrastructure solutions that will help support existing County communities, provide a variety of housing options for diverse incomes and ages, complement existing residents, and attract new types of business, growth and sustainability.

<u>Sustainability</u>: In addition to traditional development, we believe that certain lands in this new community could be planned and developed to have a lower carbon footprint and could be grounds for sustainable green innovation pilot programs including:

- Net zero emission / low water use homes.
- Homes of various types and sizes including sites for affordable homes including tiny homes and sites to pilot innovative low impact concrete 3D printed homes.
- Community based green houses to generate locally grown food and local jobs.
- Green energy infrastructure including solar, natural gas / renewable natural gas energy generation and even back up battery power all within the community.

Creating the opportunity to build a sustainable 'communities of the future' with low emission is a goal that we are working to create for our lands, for our family legacy & the County. This ASP create this opportunity.

Additional Considerations: We support the requirement for documenting the high level existing conditions and proposing internal roadways in the Elbow View ASP boundary *but* need to reinforce that sustainability and specific designation of wetlands, riparian zones and internal roadways will need to be formally defined in later Conceptual Schemes & Master Site Development planning and incorporate additional future stakeholder engagement and agreement.

<u>In closing</u>, we are proud Albertans who still believe in the Alberta Advantage and have faith that the County is open for business and committed to adapt to our new world challenges. The County and its stakeholders have benefited from the seeds

E-2 - Attachment C Page 127 of 149

our family planted decades ago in this community. We believe that supporting the Elbow View ASP creates a new platform to create even greater benefits for the next half century by raising the bar on how we can evolve living, working, playing, distancing and being sustainable.

Please join us and provide your support to help us create a community of the future.

Thank you again for this opportunity to provide support and input.

Regards,

Wayne and Patricia Meilleur

CC: Sean Meilleur - Managing Director

From: Raymond Moffat

Sent: April 23, 2021 2:58 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Support for Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may concern:

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thank you

Sharon Moffat

From: Shawn J. MacDonald
Sent: Shawn J. MacDonald
April 28, 2021 10:37 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I am the Personal Representative of the Estate of Murray Atkins which holds the majority interest (40.5%) in the 137.5 Acre parcel adjacent to the east boundary of the River Spirit golf course and fronting Highway 8.

I have reviewed and discussed this joint application with the applicants and I am satisfied that the plan as submitted provides an acceptable direction for future development of these lands. Please accept this email as my full support of the joint Qualico and Legacy ASP application on behalf of the Murray Atkins' Estate.

Kind regards,

Shawn MacDonald Personal Representative Estate of Murray Atkins

From: Stan Wolny

Sent: April 27, 2021 9:58 AM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good morning, please accept this email as written support of the proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020. I am in full support of the subject ASP and feel it is an important development that provides many benefits for the area.

Thank-you, Stan Wolny

From: Steve Etcheverry

Sent: April 23, 2021 7:18 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Fwd: Fox creek Elbow Valley

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Proposed Elbow Valley ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

As an investor in the Foxcreek area development I support the new development plan which has been proposed. I believe this is a great opportunity for a well planned project.

Regards

Steven Etcheverry

Sent from my iPhone

Michelle Mitton

| From: | Susan Falconer |
|-------|-------------------------|
| Sent: | April 21, 2021 11:01 AM |

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To Whom It May Concern:

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Sincerely

Susan Falconer

From: Tanya Shea Buckingham <tshea@capitalpower.com>

Sent: April 21, 2021 11:27 AM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Tanya Shea 852 Oakside Circle SW Calgary, AB T2V 4P7 E tshea@capitalpower.com

Address on original title # 091 022 108 was: 540 Cougar Ridge Dr Sw Calgary, AB

This email message, including any attachments, is for the intended recipient(s) only, and contains confidential and proprietary information. Unauthorized distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or are obviously not one of the intended recipients, please immediately notify the sender by reply email and delete this email message, including any attachments. Thank you.

Michelle Mitton

From: Todd Dyer

Sent: April 22, 2021 10:54 AM

To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the **Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020**.

Thank You Todd Dyer

Sent from my iPhone

From: Tracey Johnson

Sent: April 21, 2021 2:51 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Re: Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020 - IN SUPPORT

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To Whom it May Concern,

I'm writing to share my support of the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Regards,

Tracey Johnson

From: Travis Eade

Sent:April 21, 2021 3:34 PMTo:Legislative Services SharedSubject:[EXTERNAL] - C-8111-2020


Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

To whom it may Concern,

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thanks



From: Trevor Wowniar

Sent:April 21, 2021 2:13 PMTo:Legislative Services SharedCc:david@westsideland.ca

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Attachments: Fox Creek Elbow View ASP Letter.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Please see attached.



Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2 legislativeservices@rockyview.ca 403-230-1401

Re: Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

To whom it may Concern:

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-811-2020.

Trevor Wowniar

From: Trish Crisp

Sent: April 27, 2021 5:48 PM
To: Legislative Services Shared

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020.

Thank you.

Trish Crisp

From: Aaron Aubin <aaron@aubinconsulting.com>

Sent: April 28, 2021 3:52 PM **To:** Legislative Services Shared

Cc: Chad Himmelspach; Ben Mercer; Violet Meguinis

Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020 - Letter of Support from

Tsuut'ina Nation

Attachments: Elbow View Area Structure Plan Support Letter Mar 8-21.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up **Flag Status:** Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Hello,

Please see the attached letter of support from the Tsuut'ina Nation for the Proposed Elbow View ASP.

Should you have any questions regarding the letter, please contact Violet Meguinis, Consultation Director, Tsuut'ina Nation at (403) 796-9408.

Thanks, Aaron

AARON AUBIN BES, MCIP, RPP Principal, Indigenous Services Specialist



(403) 970-7764 | <u>aaron@aubinconsulting.com</u> | <u>www.aubinconsulting.com</u> | twitter: <u>aaron_aubin</u> Suite 218, 7710 5th Street SE, Calgary, Alberta T2H 2L9

The traditional territory of Treaty 7 First Nations and Homeland to the Métis.



Tsuut'ina Nation T.U.S. Consultation 9911 Chiila Blvd. Tsuut'ina, AB. T3T-0E1 Ph. 403.281.4455

Email: ttnconsultation@tsuutina.com

March 8th, 2021

Legislative Services, Rocky View County 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 Email: legislativeservices@rockyview.ca

RE: Elbow View Area Structure Plan

Dzinisi Guja Council,

Please accept this letter of support for the Elbow View Area Structure Plan.

In 2020, a developer landowner group led by Qualico Communities (Qualico) engaged with Tsuut'ina Nation (Tsuut'ina) to discuss the Elbow View Area Structure Plan (ASP) adjacent to our Nation. Through meetings with Qualico and their Indigenous engagement consultant, we co-developed an engagement plan on how to engage our citizens best.

Through a series of meetings and discussions with our consultation staff, site visits with our technical staff and community Elders, and dinner meeting with Tsuut'ina residents adjacent to the ASP boundary, we had opportunities to contribute our input and feedback. We appreciated the early engagement and being kept informed all along the way, and the ASP information provided including the information package, bulletin and video, all of which were very informative and in plain language.

In closing, we were impressed with the engagement and found the developer landowner group led by Qualico very respectful and responsive to answering our questions and concerns. Should the ASP be approved, we would appreciate the opportunity to continue to be involved in the process and to develop a long-term relationship with Rockyview County, developers and future residents of Elbow View.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (403) 796-9408.

Siiyisgaas,

Violet Meguinis, B.Ed.

dicht mass

Consultation Director, Tsuut'ina Nation

cc. Monica Onespot, TTN Culture and Language Executive Director
Tsuut'ina Chief and Council
Jessica Anderson, Rocky View County - Email: janderson@rockyview.ca
Ben Mercer, Senior Planning Manager, Qualico Communities - Email: bmercer@qualico.com

Michelle Mitton

david@westsideland.ca

Sent: From: April 28, 2021 11:23 AM

Subject: Legislative Services Shared; Jessica Anderson; Steven Lancashire; Dominic Kazmierczak

[EXTERNAL] - We Support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

Flag Status: Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known

To whom it may concern

On behalf of Westside Land Corporation we fully support the **Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-**

I am the joint venture operator which holds the majority interest (92 Acres) in the ASP aera

submitted this provides an acceptable direction for future development of these lands. Please accept this behalf of Westside Land Corporation. email as my full support of the joint Qualico, Legacy and Westside Land Corporation ASP application on I have reviewed and discussed this joint application with many people and I am satisfied that the plan as

Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

403-230-1401 Rocky View County, 262075 Rocky View Point legislativeservices@rockyview.ca Legislative Services AB,

Kind regards,

David M. Brezsnyak,

President



#1855, 246 Stewart Green S.W.

Calgary, AB T3H 3C8

Phone: (403) 246-0595 Ext 210

Cell: (403) 818-9411

www.westsideland.ca

not repeated. Please delete this communication and all attachments. Thank you.*** DISCLAIMER: *** This communication and all attachments to it are confidential. It should only be read by the person to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication in error, please contact us at (877) 246-0595 or at office@westsideland.ca, immediately to inform us of this error so that it is **David**

Legislative Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2 legislativeservices@rockyview.ca 403-230-1401



Re: Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-8111-2020

To whom it may Concern:

I support the Proposed Elbow View ASP Bylaw C-811-2020.

William Wowniar

Jessica Anderson

From: Ken MacAulay

Sent: April 28, 2021 9:59 AM

To: Jessica Anderson; Division 3, Kevin Hanson **Subject:** [EXTERNAL] - Elbow View Development Plan

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Completed

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good Morning Janet

As a resident of Elbow Valley West and having my home at 327 Leighton View (backing onto RR#32) I would like to be informed about every step this project is taking. We as a community are not being updated by RVC as much as we should. The Condo Boards website <u>elbowvalleywest.com</u> is not monitored by anyone. Please DO NOT use this medium to relay any info concerning the RSP or this project. You should be given all the email addresses of all the homeowners of EVW and the surrounding area on any and all info pertaining to this project. We want to be informed.

Many years ago Qualico submitted a plan to our Condo Board of their proposed development.,I imagine lots of changes since then.

I am not against development but in light of the substandard job RVC did with EVW, (no city sewer connection, etc) and other development approvals I am really hesitant about anyone having faith in decisions made at RVC going forward pertaining to any further developments

Thank You

Please confirm receipt of this e-mail

Ken MacAulay 327 Leighton View Elbow Valley West To: County of Rockyview

Att: Jan Anderson & Adam Harrison

development@rockyview.ca janderson@rockyview.ca

adam.harrison@o2design.com

From: Wayne & Patricia Meilleur - Springshire Development Ltd.

CC: Sean Meilleur – Managing Director

Re: Elbow View Area Structure Plan (ASP)

Springshire Developments Ltd. would like to provide formal **support** for the Elbow View ASP that has recently been submitted to the County. Below is our background in the community, some builds for the planning process as it progresses and some inspiration for growth in the County for your consideration.

Background in Community: The Meilleur family is a unique stakeholder who represents a cross section of views of most Rockyview stakeholders. The Meilleur family have lived, grown and done business in Springbank since 1966 and proud Albertans who have been part of the change in the county for 55+ years.

Our company, Springshire Developments Ltd, has owned over 1,000 acres over the years and through our determination and vision, created some of the foundation subdivisions and communities that have formed the backbone and identity of the Springbank community including Springate, Springshire, River Ridge and Grandview Estates. We have own 142 acres of land within the Highway 8 Area corridor since 1989 at the SE9-24-3-W5 where homes are located and engage in agricultural pursuits like cattle grazing, equestrian, crops and tree horticulture.

The Meilleur family have been active in participants in evolving County Policy and Regulation including running for council in the mid-late 1980's, being on the board of directors for the Central Springbank ASP in the late 1990's and 1 of 6 community representatives of the Plan8 area structure plan from 2004-2008 who helped draft some of the original vision for Highway 8.

<u>Change Is Needed</u>: Our family has invested more than ½ a century into Rockyview. It has been a long journey of varied experiences. We offer our genuine opinion that the current status quo of Highway 8 is not sustainable and that a change like this ASP is needed for the Alberta and for our future generations.

Implementation of the Elbow Valley ASP would represent a significant and appropriate change. We would respectfully support this change and support the amendment to the Land Use Bylaw from Ranch and Farm District to Direct Control District of agriculture land to establish a land use framework for the future redesignation, subdivision and development of a comprehensively planned community. We believe that this new community would comprise of mix of traditional and innovative residential, commercial, institutional, community agriculture, sustainable energy and open space uses. Needless to say, and in keeping with landowner rights, any measures relating to potential modification of land holdings would be subject to the will and discretion of the landowner.

<u>Inspiration:</u> The Meilleur family believes that the Elbow View ASP would provide opportunities for new and innovative revenue and infrastructure solutions that will help support existing County communities, provide a variety of housing options for diverse incomes and ages, complement existing residents, and attract new types of business, growth and sustainability.

<u>Sustainability</u>: In addition to traditional development, we believe that certain lands in this new community could be planned and developed to have a lower carbon footprint and could be grounds for sustainable green innovation pilot programs including:

- Net zero emission / low water use homes.
- Homes of various types and sizes including sites for affordable homes including tiny homes and sites to pilot innovative low impact concrete 3D printed homes.
- Community based green houses to generate locally grown food and local jobs.
- Green energy infrastructure including solar, natural gas / renewable natural gas energy generation and even back up battery power all within the community.

Creating the opportunity to build a sustainable 'communities of the future' with low emission is a goal that we are working to create for our lands, for our family legacy & the County. This ASP create this opportunity.

Additional Considerations: We support the requirement for documenting the high level existing conditions and proposing internal roadways in the Elbow View ASP boundary *but* need to reinforce that sustainability and specific designation of wetlands, riparian zones and internal roadways will need to be formally defined in later Conceptual Schemes & Master Site Development planning and incorporate additional future stakeholder engagement and agreement.

<u>In closing</u>, we are proud Albertans who still believe in the Alberta Advantage and have faith that the County is open for business and committed to adapt to our new world challenges. The County and its stakeholders have benefited from the seeds

E-2 - Attachment C Page 148 of 149

our family planted decades ago in this community. We believe that supporting the Elbow View ASP creates a new platform to create even greater benefits for the next half century by raising the bar on how we can evolve living, working, playing, distancing and being sustainable.

Please join us and provide your support to help us create a community of the future.

Thank you again for this opportunity to provide support and input.

Regards,

Wayne and Patricia Meilleur

CC: Sean Meilleur - Managing Director



Tsuut'ina Nation T.U.S. Consultation 9911 Chiila Blvd. Tsuut'ina, AB. T3T-0E1 Ph. 403.281.4455

Email: ttnconsultation@tsuutina.com

March 8th, 2021

Legislative Services, Rocky View County 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 Email: legislativeservices@rockyview.ca

RE: Elbow View Area Structure Plan

Dzinisi Guja Council,

Please accept this letter of support for the Elbow View Area Structure Plan.

In 2020, a developer landowner group led by Qualico Communities (Qualico) engaged with Tsuut'ina Nation (Tsuut'ina) to discuss the Elbow View Area Structure Plan (ASP) adjacent to our Nation. Through meetings with Qualico and their Indigenous engagement consultant, we co-developed an engagement plan on how to engage our citizens best.

Through a series of meetings and discussions with our consultation staff, site visits with our technical staff and community Elders, and dinner meeting with Tsuut'ina residents adjacent to the ASP boundary, we had opportunities to contribute our input and feedback. We appreciated the early engagement and being kept informed all along the way, and the ASP information provided including the information package, bulletin and video, all of which were very informative and in plain language.

In closing, we were impressed with the engagement and found the developer landowner group led by Qualico very respectful and responsive to answering our questions and concerns. Should the ASP be approved, we would appreciate the opportunity to continue to be involved in the process and to develop a long-term relationship with Rockyview County, developers and future residents of Elbow View.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (403) 796-9408.

Siiyisgaas,

Violet Meguinis, B.Ed.

dicht mass

Consultation Director, Tsuut'ina Nation

cc. Monica Onespot, TTN Culture and Language Executive Director
Tsuut'ina Chief and Council
Jessica Anderson, Rocky View County - Email: janderson@rockyview.ca
Ben Mercer, Senior Planning Manager, Qualico Communities - Email: bmercer@qualico.com