

**ATTACHMENT E: POLICY REVIEW**

<b>Definitions</b>		
Consistent	Generally Consistent	Inconsistent
Clearly meets the relevant requirements and intent of the policy.	Meets the overall intent of the policy and any areas of inconsistency are not critical to the delivery of appropriate development.	Clear misalignment with the relevant requirements of the policy that may create planning, technical or other challenges.

<b>Municipal Government Act</b>	
<b>Section 22: Road Closure</b>	
22(1)	<i>No road in a municipality that is subject to the direction, control and management of the municipality may be closed except by bylaw.</i>
Consistent	The application is to close the subject road allowance by bylaw.
22(2)	<i>Before giving second reading to a bylaw that would close a road, a council must hold a public hearing with respect to the proposed bylaw in accordance with section 216.4 after giving notice of it in accordance with section 606.</i>
Consistent	Council will hold a public hearing with respect to the proposed bylaw. If Council decides to proceed with the closure of the undeveloped road allowance for consolidation purposes they will give first reading to the bylaw.
22(3)	<i>No road may be closed by bylaw of the council of a municipality that is not a city unless the bylaw is approved by the Minister of Transportation and Economic Corridors before it receives second reading.</i>
Consistent	The application will be forwarded to the Minister of Transportation and Economic Corridors for review and signature before second reading.

<b>Policy C-443 (Road Allowance Closure and Disposal)</b>	
<b>Road Allowance Closure and Disposal</b>	
1.	<i>The County may dispose of Undeveloped Road Allowances that are determined to be surplus to County needs, in accordance with the MGA. Approval of Council and the Alberta Minister of Transportation is required to dispose of any road allowance.</i>
Generally Consistent	The subject undeveloped road allowance is not required for use by the travelling public owing to the existence of alternate access routes. One (1) letter of opposition was received from a landowner within the application circulation area who has stated that they use the undeveloped road allowance.