

From: [Legislative Officers](#)
To: [Edie Mitchell](#)
Cc: [Legislative Officers](#); [Christine Berger](#)
Subject: RE: Bylaw C-8506-2024-PL20230024(10013260)
Date: Monday, April 8, 2024 8:26:20 AM

Hello,

Thank you for your comments on the proposed bylaw. They will be included in the agenda for Council's consideration.

Thank you,

LEGISLATIVE OFFICERS

Legislative Services

ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

262075 Rocky View Point | Rocky View County | AB | T4A 0X2

Phone: 403-230-1401

legislativeofficers@rockyview.ca | www.rockyview.ca

This e-mail, including any attachments, may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this information is prohibited and unlawful. If you received this communication in error, please reply immediately to let me know and then delete this e-mail. Thank you.

From: Edie Mitchell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 5, 2024 4:51 PM
To: Legislative Services <LegislativeServices@rockyview.ca>
Subject: Bylaw C-8506-2024-PL20230024(10013260)

To whom it may concern,

We are against the idea of creating a site-specific amendment to DC-123. Owners buy the properties knowing the size of the lot, and builders build to suit that property.

No changes need to be made to the bylaw.

Thank you,

Roger and Edie Mitchell

Lot 92 Block PAn 1111762 NE-13-26-06-05

308 Cottage Club Way

Rockyview County, AB T4C1B1

Andrew Chell

From: Todd Achen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: August 8, 2023 2:16 PM
To: Andrew Chell
Cc: Aura Quality Homes
Subject: DC123/Div 3 - File#10013260 / PL20230024

Dear Andrew Chell:

Re: DC123/Div 3 - File#10013260 / PL20230024

I am in Agreement to Accommodate set back for a house already built at lot #259.

I am a neighbouring property at the CottageClub residing at Unit 204. Unit 259 is in phase 4 of the CottageClub and is therefore far from the lake with no lake views. As the property can not block either a lake or mountain view, the immaterial distance of setback error has no impact on views or material set back, and therefore no impact on the value of phase 4 adjacent properties.

This seems to be a simple error by the survey engineer and municipal and inspection approvals? There have been several Rockyview DC123 building and compliance errors in our community by RVC. It is likely best to have attentive employees review plans on site in advance as they should, taking preventative action immediately when RVC inspectors are on site, rather than errors discovered after the fact like this.

Through better preventative controls of compliance to DC123 ByLaws by RVC inspectors into the future, these minor errors could be mitigated at points of construction in advance avoiding the time to have to take it to counsel and waste their valuable time after the fact.

No reasonable safe setback was ever provided by Rockyview County in 2022 to the CottageClub when RVC exempted TransAlta to build a lithium ion power plant on agricultural land right next to hundreds of CottageClub properties, devaluing our properties. So why worry about a few inches if Rockyview simply preapproves power plants with no legal safe set backs of DC123 fire and evacuation plans required for the safety of all CottageClub properties.

I agree with this insignificant set back approval for #259. I only wished that residents of the CottageClub would not have had their set back rights taken away by the County in a unilateral decision for a new TransAlta power plant right next door to our community without so much as a RVC public hearing for us residents here at risk.

Thank you, Milton Achen #204 at the CottageClub [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone