

Michelle Mitton

From: Ian Robertson [REDACTED]
Sent: July 6, 2021 4:07 PM
To: PAA_SDAB
Subject: [EXTERNAL] - letter for hearing on Thursday, 9 AM
Attachments: Robinson tower appeal 8.july.2021.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

Good afternoon,

I am sending a letter (attached) expressing my support for an appeal submitted by Kenneth W. Robinson against a proposed communications tower at the intersection of Highway 8 and Range Road 41. The relevant hearing for the appeal is scheduled for Thursday, July 8, 2021 at 9AM. I am requesting that my letter be considered at this electronic hearing.

Thank you, and with best wishes,

Ian Robertson

--

Ian G. Robertson, Ph.D.
41183 Circle 5 Estates
Calgary, Alberta T3Z 2T4
Canada

July 6, 2021

Rocky View County Subdivision &
Development Appeal Board

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to support Kenneth W. Robinson's appeal of the Rocky View County Development Authority's grant of permission for construction of a communications tower near the intersection of Range Road 41 and Highway 8. I live approximately 1.85 km southwest of the proposed site (at SW/02/24/04/05) and would travel right past the tower (on Hwy 8 and RR 41) on a regular basis. I would like this letter to be submitted as part of the electronic hearing that will be held on Thursday, July 8, 2021, at 9:00 AM.

My objection has to do with the impact that this tower would have on the viewscape in this part of the Elbow Valley. Members of my family have lived in this district since the 1800s; over the course of my own lifetime, I have seen its natural beauty and agricultural potential under steady assault from development, mostly as a result of ever increasing proximity to Calgary. The proposed construction of the Springbank SR1 dam is only one prominent example of this general kind of impact, while the tall and unsightly tower proposed by Xplornet will make a still beautiful area significantly more ugly. Surely the tower should go elsewhere, perhaps further east in parts of the Elbow Valley that have already been absorbed as suburban residential districts. Locating it as proposed will simply make it even more impossible to remember or imagine what this part of Alberta looked like, before it fell victim to Calgary's urban sprawl. This will negatively affect everyone living in the area, as well as those passing through, including tourists heading to places like Bragg Creek for weekend recreation.

Thank you for considering my views,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ian G. Robertson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Ian G. Robertson
41183 Circle 5 Estates
Rocky View County

Michelle Mitton

From: Marlene Dusdal [REDACTED]
Sent: July 6, 2021 3:05 PM
To: PAA_SDAB
Subject: [EXTERNAL] - PRDP20211823

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Do not open links or attachments unless sender and content are known.

M.E. Dusdal
141104 R.R. 42
Calgary, Ab
T3Z 2X6

RVC Subdivision and Appeal Board
262075 Rocky View Point
Rocky View County, Ab
T4A 0X2

July 06 2021

I own and reside on Nw2-24-4-W5 adjacent to NW1-24-4 W5.

I have lived in the Pirmez Creek area since 1964. I sadly remember when the MD of RockyView's Motto stated this vision was to protect and preserve agricultural land. How that has changed!

The present council, in my opinion is bent on destroying farm land and appears to be under the control of developers. Communication between the county and ratepayers is a thing of the past. For example; no notices of hearings, applications for proposed developments, appeals, council meeting agenda and related valuable information do not appear in the Rocky View Weekly as they should. Why? RVC decision to save a pittance @ ratepayers expense.

I fully support K.W. Robinson in his appeal against SDAB decision to allow the Xplornet construction of a communication facility and associate equipment shelter on NW2-24-4-W5.

I am the last remaining land owner along Hwy 8 actively engaged in agricultural production. 200+ acres produce forage crops.

My reasons for support are:

1. My residence, is located on NW2, near the east side of the 1/4, so the 150' tower, complete with lights will be clearly visible from it.
2. Referring to the preservation of agricultural farm land, this construction on NW 1 will take this good farmland out of production forever. It has been producing forage and grain since 1964 and prior to that.
3. There has been a lack of communication between RVC and myself as an adjacent and affected landowner For Example:
 - a. June 01 2021 - email from RVC stating approval of a development permit " located on the SE junction of Hwy 8 and RR 41". This junction does not exist, however , there is a junction at the NE corner of the 1/4. But that's not what it says.
 - b. June 05- email from W Van Dijk @ RVC states" I have attached a site plan showing the location in the north east corner of the "parcel"

and maps of the location. Which left me 2 days only to research and prepare this letter. A hard copy of this should have been mailed to me weeks ago.

c. March 16 2021- email from Xplornet stating their proposal. A request for their tower location on the 1/4 was emailed.

d. April 13 2021- email from Xplornet providing a map of NW2 showing the tower location @ NE corner of NW 1 at the corner of Hwy 8 and RR 40. Obviously it's location was changed since that date without any input from any adjoining landowner, nor were we provided with that information.

e. Additionally, I did not receive the notice of an electro is hearing slated for July 08 2021, mailed on June 22 2021 until June 30 2021 leaving me 4 business days to take this in and respond.

f. July 05 2021-email from M Molten @ RVC which only provided a notice of the hearing and a link to RVC agenda which was useless.

4. Not all affected, adjacent landowners have computers, so are definitely at a disadvantage. Even if they did, as I do, I lack the knowledge and skill to speak to the board electronically at the hearing. I would like to address the board face to face, as we once did. Having said that, and with the lifting of Covid restriction, RVC should re-open the doors of OUR county hall giving the public access to council chambers so that we can again attend anything that concerns or affect us.

I therefore, request SDAB to support K.W. Robinson's appeal and prevent the Xplornets construction of this facility.

Respectfully submitted

M.E. Dusdal

Sent from my iPad