



ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, March 2, 2021

9:02 AM

Held Electronically in accordance with the Meeting Procedures (COVID-19 Suppression) Regulation,
Alberta Regulation 50/2020

Present: Reeve D. Henn
Deputy Reeve K. McKylor (participated electronically)
Councillor M. Kamachi (participated electronically)
Councillor K. Hanson (participated electronically)
Councillor A. Schule (participated electronically)
Councillor J. Gautreau (participated electronically)
Councillor G. Boehlke
Councillor S. Wright (participated electronically)
Councillor C. Kissel (participated electronically)

Also Present: A. Hoggan, Chief Administrative Officer
B. Riemann, Executive Director, Operations
G. Kaiser, Executive Director, Community and Business
K. Robinson, Executive Director, Corporate Services
T. Cochran, Executive Director, Community Development Services
D. Kazmierczak, Manager, Planning Policy
G. Nijjar, Manager, Planning and Development Services
K. Jiang, Legislative Officer, Legislative Services
K. Tuff, Legislative Officer, Legislative Services
T. Andreasen, Legislative Officer, Legislative Services
M. Mitton, Legislative Coordinator, Legislative Services

A Call Meeting to Order

The Chair called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m. with all members present.

B Updates/Approval of Agenda

MOVED by Councillor Boehlke that the March 2, 2021 Special Council meeting agenda be accepted as presented.

Carried



E-1 Division 9 - Bylaw C-8051-2020 - Redesignation Item – Industrial Redesignation
File: PL20200031 (06731002/4)

F-1 Division 9 - Master Site Development Plan – Summit Pit
File: PL20200034 (06731002/4)

MOVED by Councillor Kissel that the public hearing for item E-1 be opened at 9:07 a.m.

Carried

Person(s) who presented: Ken Venner, B&A Planning Group (Applicant)
Bridget Honch, B&A Planning Group (Applicant)
Bruce Waterman, Mountain Ash Limited Partnership
Tige Brady, Mountain Ash Limited Partnership
Dan Clayton, SLR
Rick Lauzon, SLR
Robert Till, SLR
Steven Usher, SLR
Xin Qui, SLR
Selby Thannikary, Stantec
Bruce Nelligan, Watt Consulting Group

The Chair called for a recess at 10:10 a.m. and called the meeting back to order at 10:22 a.m. with all previously mentioned members present.

MOVED by Councillor Hanson that Council be allowed to see the time lapse 4D model that was discussed during the applicant’s presentation.

Carried

Councillor Schule left the meeting at 11:12 a.m. and returned to the meeting at 11:14 a.m.

The Chair called for a recess at 11:17 a.m. and called the meeting back to order at 11:27 a.m. with all previously mentioned members present.

Pre-recorded audio/video presentations in support: None

Pre-recorded audio/video submissions in opposition: Listed in Schedule ‘A’

The Chair called for a recess at 12:01 p.m. and called the meeting back to order at 1:01 p.m. with all previously mentioned members present with the exception of Councillor Hanson, Councillor Schule and Councillor Gautreau. Councillor Hanson and Councillor Schule returned to the meeting at 1:02 p.m.

Councillor Gautreau returned to the meeting at 1:05 p.m.

Councillor Schule left the meeting at 1:29 p.m. and returned to the meeting at 1:45 p.m.

The Chair called for a recess at 2:14 p.m. and called the meeting back to order at 2:22 p.m. with all previously mentioned members present.



Councillor Schule left the meeting 2:31 p.m. and returned to the meeting at 2:41 p.m.

The Chair made the final call for email submissions and called for a recess at 2:45 p.m. The Chair called the meeting back to order at 2:59 p.m. with all previously mentioned members present, with the exception of Councillor Schule, and declared email submissions closed.

Email submissions in support: Listed in Schedule 'B'

Email submissions in opposition: Listed in Schedule 'B'

The Chair called for a recess at 3:00 p.m. and called the meeting back to order at 4:40 p.m. with all previously mentioned members present, with the exception of Councillor Schule, who returned to the meeting at 4:43 p.m.

Main Motion:

MOVED by Deputy Reeve McKylor that the applicant's rebuttal time limit be extended by 20 minutes pursuant to section 186(1) of the *Procedure Bylaw*.

Carried

Amending Motion:

MOVED by Councillor Kissel that main motion be amended as follows:

 THAT the applicant's rebuttal time limit be extended by ~~20~~ 5 minutes pursuant to section 186(1) of the *Procedure Bylaw*.

Carried

The Chair called for a vote on the main motion as amended.

Main Motion as Amended:

MOVED by Deputy Reeve McKylor that the applicant's rebuttal time limit be extended by 5 minutes pursuant to section 186(1) of the *Procedure Bylaw*.

Carried



ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

Person(s) who presented rebuttal: Ken Venner, B&A Planning Group (Applicant)
Bridget Honch, B&A Planning Group (Applicant)
Bruce Waterman, Mountain Ash Limited Partnership
Tige Brady, Mountain Ash Limited Partnership
Dan Clayton, SLR
Rick Lauzon, SLR
Robert Till, SLR
Steven Usher, SLR
Xin Qui, SLR
Selby Thannikary, Stantec
Bruce Nelligan, Watt Consulting Group

Councillor Boehlke left the meeting at 5:50 p.m. and returned to the meeting at 5:52 p.m.

MOVED by Councillor Kissel that the public hearing for item E-1 be closed at 5:58 p.m. with all previously mentioned members present. Carried

The Chair called for a recess at 5:59 p.m. and called the meeting back to order at 6:05 p.m. with all previously mentioned members present.

MOVED by Councillor Schule that section 1.4.0 of Bylaw C-8051-2020 be amended as follows:

1.4.0 **Council is** the Development Authority **and** shall be responsible for the issuance of **all** Development Permits for the Lands subject to this Bylaw Carried

MOVED by Councillor Kissel that application PL20200034 be refused. Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Gautreau that the Summit Pit Master Site Development Plan (approved April 24, 2018) be rescinded. Carried

MOVED by Councillor Gautreau that the Summit Pit Master Site Development Plan be approved as per Attachment 'C'. Carried

MOVED by Councillor Kissel that consideration of Bylaw C-8051-2020 be tabled sine die and that the Applicant be directed to prepare an update to the Hydrogeological Assessment Report in accordance with the recommendations of Alberta Parks and Environment. Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Gautreau that Bylaw C-8051-2020 be amended to insert Schedule 'A' and Schedule 'C' as presented in Attachment 'C'. Carried

MOVED by Councillor Gautreau that Bylaw C-8051-2020 be amended as per Attachment 'C'. Carried



MOVED by Councillor Boehlke that Bylaw C-8051-2020 be given second reading, as amended.
Carried

MOVED by Councillor Gautreau that Bylaw C-8051-2020 be given third and final reading, as amended.
Carried

G-1 Division 9 - All Divisions - Adoption of Proposed Bylaw C-8090-2020 (New Municipal Development Plan)
File: 1013-136

MOVED by Councillor Wright that Council receive the additional letter from the City of Calgary on proposed Bylaw C-8090-2020, being the proposed new Municipal Development Plan;

AND THAT Council receive the letter from Alberta Environment and Parks on proposed Bylaw C-8090-2020, being the proposed new Municipal Development Plan.
Defeated

The Chair called for the meeting to be adjourned at 6:45 p.m. and for the meeting to resume at 9:00 a.m. on Monday, March 8, 2021.

The Chair called the meeting back to order at 9:00 a.m. on Monday, March 8, 2021 with all previously mentioned members present, with the exception of Councillor Hanson and Councillor Boehlke.

Councillor Hanson arrived to the meeting at 9:01 a.m. and Councillor Boehlke arrived to the meeting at 9:03 a.m.

G-1 All Divisions - Adoption of Proposed Bylaw C-8090-2020 (New Municipal Development Plan)
File: 1013-136

MOVED by Councillor Hanson that Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended in accordance with Attachment 'A'.
Carried

MOVED by Councillor Hanson that Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to correct grammar, typographical errors, formatting, numbering, and map labelling throughout.
Carried

MOVED by Deputy Reeve McKylor that Figure 2 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to show the area one half of a quarter section north and south of Highway 1, between the western boundary of the city of Calgary and the Highway 1/22 intersection, as an Employment Area, which presently shows.
Carried



MOVED by Deputy Reeve McKylor that policy 3.4.3(b) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

A confined feeding operation, including its minimum distance of separation, should shall not be located within the boundary or *notification zone* of any intermunicipal development plan *adopted with a* neighbouring *urban* municipality, *or any* statutory planning area, hamlet, residential area, institutional use, or federal, provincial, or municipal park or recreation area.

Carried

MOVED by Councillor Hanson that policies 2.4.1 a), c), e), and f) ix) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to replace "should" with "shall" in all instances of its occurrence.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Hanson that policies 3.1.1 a), d), e) and f) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to replace "should" with "shall" in all instances of its occurrence, and within the same Bylaw, that policy 3.1.1. k) be amended to replace "may" with "should" in all instances of its occurrence.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 3.1.1 a) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to replace "should" with "shall" in all instances of its occurrence.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 3.1.1 c) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to replace "may" with "shall" in all instances of its occurrence.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Boehlke that Figure 2 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to remove the Ecological Features layer from the map and to remove reference to 'Ecological Features' from the legend.

Carried

MOVED by Councillor Boehlke that section 2.2 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to remove the following text:

Ecological Features: These potentially important ecological features include wetlands, riparian areas, valuable agricultural soils, and wildlife corridors. These areas may not be appropriate for additional development, and should be considered when area structure plans and conceptual schemes are created or amended.

Carried

MOVED by Councillor Boehlke that section 2.2 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to remove the following text:

The map also highlights the presence of ecological features that warrant further study when development is proposed in these areas.

Carried



MOVED by Councillor Boehlke that policy 3.5.1(a) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

- a) Where development is proposed near potential *Ecological Features* identified in the ~~Growth Concept (Figure 2)~~, development applications may require the preparation and implementation of a bio-physical impact assessment to identify potential negative impacts and mitigation measures

Carried

The Chair called for a recess at 9:55 a.m. and called the meeting back to order at 10:05 a.m. with all previously mentioned members present, with the exception of Councillor Schule who returned to the meeting at 10:07 a.m.

MOVED by Councillor Boehlke that Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to insert new policy 4.2 a) as follows, and to renumber subsequent policies accordingly:

- 4.2 a) Before any Actions identified in Table 02 are commenced, they shall have been brought before Council for approval with a report from Administration on the item, including assessment of budget impacts on the County.

Carried

MOVED by Councillor Wright that section 2.3.1 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

Primary residential areas comprise lands where residential development and ~~ancillary commercial and light industrial development~~ will be the predominant land use **with ancillary contextually sensitive commercial and light industrial development.**

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policies 2.3.1 e), f), and h) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to replace "should" with "shall" in all instances of its occurrence.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 2.3.1 h) vii) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

- vii) Where the ASP is located in areas **near** ~~adjacent to~~ an intermunicipal partner, appropriate intermunicipal collaboration on key cross-boundary concerns.

Carried

MOVED by Councillor Kissel that policy 2.3.1 b) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

- b) New development ~~may occur~~ **shall be discouraged** outside of identified priority growth areas, **but may be considered for** ~~with~~ Council review and approval, **subject to prior public engagement being undertaken and support from affected landowners being obtained.**

Defeated



MOVED by Councillor Wright that section 2.3.3 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to replace "should" with "shall" as follows:

Further fragmented country residential development should be avoided, and a gradual transition ~~should~~ **shall** be pursued to a more orderly and efficient development pattern within fragmented country residential areas.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 2.4.2 a) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to replace "should" with "shall" as follows:

- a) New neighbourhood-serving commercial and light industrial development ~~should~~ **shall**:
 - i) conform to the relevant area structure plan, and the policies of the MDP; and
 - ii) Have minimal impact on adjacent land uses.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that section 2.5 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

Over the next 20 years, it is anticipated that Rocky View's hamlets ~~are~~ **will be home** to the majority of the County's residents and **will** provide services for their **residents'** everyday needs

Carried

MOVED by Councillor Wright that section 2.5.1 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

Hamlets in Rocky View County vary in size, appearance, and function, with each hamlet having a distinct character that reflects its location, history, and environment. Of these hamlets, Balzac West, Bragg Creek, Conrich, ~~Elbow View~~, Glenbow **Ranch**, Harmony, and Langdon are recognized as Hamlet Growth Areas (as identified on Figure 2). These Hamlet Growth Areas, both existing and planned, will include a mix of land uses to provide housing, employment, community services, and recreation opportunities to local residents and a larger service area. Additional growth in these hamlets ~~may~~ **should** be prioritized by the County due to their proximity to transportation networks, and availability of infrastructure, services, and amenities. Commercial uses will be supported in Hamlet Growth Areas to provide access to services for residents and provide employment opportunities.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policies 2.5.1 a), b), c) and g) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to replace "should" with "shall" in all instances of its occurrence.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policies 2.5.2 c) and d) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to replace "should" with "shall" in all instances of its occurrence.

Defeated



ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 3.3.1 a) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

- 3.3.1 a) ~~Minimize~~ **Avoid** the adverse impact of aggregate extraction on existing residents, adjacent land uses, and the environment

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 3.3.1 g) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

- g) Consider co-locating ~~other complimentary industrial~~ **transitional land** uses adjacent to aggregate extraction sites.

Defeated

Main Motion:

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 3.3.1 g) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

- g) Consider co-locating ~~other complimentary industrial~~ **transitional land** uses adjacent to aggregate extraction sites.

Amending Motion:

MOVED by Deputy Reeve McKylor that the main motion be amended as follows:

- g) Consider co-locating ~~other complimentary industrial~~ **transitional land** uses adjacent to aggregate extraction sites.

Councillor Hanson left the meeting at 10:58 a.m.

Amending Motion:

MOVED by Councillor Boehlke that the amending motion be amended as follows:

- g) Consider co-locating ~~other complimentary industrial~~ **transitional land** uses adjacent to **and within** aggregate extraction sites.

Defeated

Absent: Councillor Hanson

Councillor Hanson returned to the meeting at 11:00 a.m.

The Chair called for a vote on the original amendment.

AMENDING MOTION

MOVED by Deputy Reeve McKylor that the main motion be amended as follows:

- g) Consider co-locating ~~other complimentary industrial~~ **transitional land** uses adjacent to and within aggregate extraction sites.

Carried



The Chair called for a vote on the main motion as amended.

Main Motion as Amended:

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 3.3.1 g) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

- g) Consider co-locating ~~other~~ complementary industrial land uses adjacent to and within aggregate extraction sites.

Carried

The Chair called for a recess at 11:07 a.m. and called the meeting back to order 11:14 a.m. with all previously mentioned members present.

MOVED by Councillor Kissel that section 3.3 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

Objectives

The policies within the Natural Resources Development Policy Area are to ensure the following objectives:

- Future natural resource extraction balances the needs of residents, industry, society, and the County.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Kissel that Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to insert new policy 3.3.1 i) as follows:

- i) Discourage aggregate extraction in areas of the County that are zoned Primary Residential or hamlet.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to insert new policy 3.3.1 i) as follows:

- i) Discourage aggregate extraction adjacent to lands which are designated for residential uses within Primary Residential or Hamlet Development Areas.

Carried

MOVED by Councillor Wright that Appendix C of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to insert Table 05 as follows:

Master Site Development Plan Requirements

Master Site Development Plans should address the following items:

Table 05: Master Site Development Plan Requirements

1. A general introduction to the proposed development: a discussion of the vision and purpose of the proposal.
2. A master site plan addressing:



- a) building placement and setbacks;
 - b) building height and general architectural appearance;
 - c) parking and public lighting;
 - d) landscaping for visual appearance and/or mitigating measures;
 - e) agriculture boundary design guidelines; and
 - f) anticipated phasing.
3. A summary of the Applicant's community consultation and results.
 4. Technical issues identified by the County that are necessary to determine the project's viability and offsite impacts.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that Appendix C of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to insert Table 06 as follows:

Aggregate Master Site Development Plan Requirements

Applications for aggregate extraction shall include a master site development plan that addresses the following:

Table 06: Aggregate Extraction Master Site Development Plan Requirements

1. A general introduction to the proposed development: a discussion of the vision and purpose of the proposal, summary of physical attributes of subject lands, site context overview, and guiding principles for development.
2. Development rationale including justification for proposed land use.
3. Summary of proposed operations including: site activities, proposed hours of operation, haul routes, etc.
4. Aggregate extraction guidelines and site development/aggregate extraction plan.
5. Phasing plan.
6. Development permitting structure which is to include monitoring and reporting requirements.
7. Reclamation plan.
8. Environmental mitigation strategies and initiatives including a summary of the use of sustainable technologies and initiatives during extraction and reclamation stages.
9. Identification of impacts to surrounding lands and mitigation strategies (may require landscaping and buffering strategies for effective screening and visual aesthetics).



10. Assessment of cumulative aspects of extraction activities in the area.
11. Summary of interim and post reclamation land uses – a discussion of land uses that may coincide with aggregate extraction (i.e. agricultural uses).
12. Any special policies that may be required to give guidance to the preparation of development proposals.
13. A technical summary of the proposal with supporting documentation that addresses:
 - a) transportation and access management (submission of a traffic impact assessment);
 - b) stormwater management (submission of a stormwater management plan);
 - c) ground and surface water hydrological analysis;
 - d) environmental overview (submission of a biophysical overview);
 - e) noise and dust mitigation strategies and reports; and
 - f) erosion and weed management control.
14. Supplementary information - any additional information that may help further define the proposal.
15. Summary of required Provincial Approvals. This could include: Alberta Environment Code of Practice, Alberta Environment wetland loss and mitigation approvals, Alberta Community Development historical resource clearance, Alberta Transportation roadside development permits, etc.
16. A summary of the Applicant's community consultation and results.
17. Any other item deemed appropriate by the County.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to insert a new section 4.4 to section 4 (Implementation and Monitoring) as follows:

4.4 Technical Requirements/Supporting Information

- 4.4.1 All planning or development applications, and any associated infrastructure construction should meet the technical requirements of the Municipal Development Plan, Land Use Bylaw, area structure plans, subordinate plans, Servicing Standards, County Policy, and provincial and federal requirements. Request for variations from County requirements must include technical justification with all relevant studies, reports, and tests.



4.4.2 The County will make a decision to approve, approve with conditions, or deny a request to vary from County requirements as the County deems appropriate after reviewing all supporting information.

4.4.3 The County may require studies, reports, and tests to be submitted in support of any planning or development application.

4.4.4 Conceptual schemes required by this Plan should be required to provide information on, and evaluation of, the matters identified in Appendix C.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 3.5.5 e) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

e) Proposed development within the floodway or flood fringe areas should shall provide a flood hazard risk study, including hazard mapping where appropriate and prepared by a qualified professional

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 4.2 f) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

f) The County ~~will~~ should ~~shall~~ monitor and report to council annually on the rate of development within area structure plans and conceptual plans, including the number of new dwellings, and dwelling types.

Defeated

Main Motion:

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 4.2 g) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

g) When creating or amending area structure plans, the County shall include a policy requiring municipal review of the plans after ~~10~~ 5 years. ~~, and a review after 5 years if sufficient development has not been undertaken after 5 years of the plans approval.~~

Amending Motion:

MOVED by Councillor Hanson that the main motion be amended as follows:

g) When creating or amending area structure plans, the County ~~shall~~ should include a policy requiring municipal review of the plans ~~no longer than a 7-year cycle after 10-5 years.~~ ~~, and a review after 5 years if sufficient development has not been undertaken after 5 years of the plans approval.~~

Defeated

The Chair called for a vote on the main motion.



Main Motion:

MOVED by Councillor Wright that policy 4.2 g) of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

- g) When creating or amending area structure plans, the County shall include a policy requiring municipal review of the plans after ~~10~~ 5 years, ~~and a review after 5 years if sufficient development has not been undertaken after 5 years of the plans approval.~~

Defeated

The Chair called for a recess at 12:08 p.m. and called the meeting back to order at 1:11 p.m. with all previously mentioned members present.

MOVED by Councillor Wright that Appendix A of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended to include the following definitions:

Designated Development Area means the Priority Growth Areas identified in the Growth Concept Map.

Concentrated Growth means growth that occurs within the Designated Development Areas of the Growth Concept Map.

Source Water means water in its natural or raw state, prior to withdrawal for treatment and distribution as a drinking water source.

Priority Growth Area means the areas identified in the Growth Concept Map for the prioritization of County investment in municipal infrastructure and the accommodation of additional growth over the MDP's planning horizon.

Carried

MOVED by Councillor Wright that section 2.2 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

Provincial Parks: There are ~~two~~ three provincial parks in Rocky View County, Glenbow Ranch, **Bragg Creek**, and Big Hills Springs. Future development adjacent to these parks should mitigate any impacts to their environmental or recreation function

Carried

MOVED by Councillor Kissel that section 3.4 of Bylaw C-8090-2020 be amended as follows:

Objectives

- The agriculture sector remains ~~an important~~ a vital component of the County's economy.
- Adverse impacts on agriculture from non-agriculture land uses are ~~minimized~~ discouraged.

Defeated

MOVED by Councillor Wright that further consideration of Bylaw 8090-2020 be tabled until the April 27, 2021 Council meeting to allow for further collaboration with adjacent municipalities and First Nations.

Carried



**ROCKY VIEW
COUNTY**

N Adjourn the Meeting

The Chair adjourned the March 2, 2021 Special Council Meeting on Monday, March 8, 2021 at 1:21 p.m.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of several overlapping loops and curves.

Reeve or Deputy Reeve

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of several overlapping loops and curves.

Chief Administrative Officer or Designate



ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

Schedule 'A' - Pre-Recorded Audio/Video Submissions in Opposition

Gerry Bietz
Kaylee Seles
Lyse Carignan
Michael Foster
Julie Gomke
Dan Brown
Carolyn Koebisch
Harry Hodgson
Leo and Collen Bieche
Sarah Leete
Vivian Pharis
Keith Koebish
John Clarkson and family
Kris Koebish
Eric Gunderson
John Fennell on behalf of Friends of Big Hill Springs Provincial Park and Bighill Creek
Preservation Society
Scott Tiffin
Linda Kostecky
Susan Brown on behalf of Rocky View Gravel Watch
Patrick Lahey
Lisa Mayhew
Odin Pearce
Mark and Leah Pearce
Tom and Jennifer Foss
Brandi Edge
Aynsley Foss on behalf of Foss Hollow Farms
Janet Ballentyne on behalf of Rocky View Forward
Amy Jillaine
Marilyn Unger
Heinz Unger
Trout Unlimited Canada



ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

Schedule 'B' - Email Submissions in Support and Opposition

Support

Ms. Ryan Morgan
Mr. Devon Markert
Mr. Ross Salvador
Ms. Kurtis Puzey
Mr. Wendall Pozniak
Mr. Loren Jacula
Ms. Stacey Petrie
Mr. Gregory B.
Ms. Renae Regal
Mr. Jamie Brown
Mr. Reid Church
Mr. Ken Bieber
Mr. Bill Riel
Ms. Lorraine Ryan
Mr. Nicholas Ryan
Ms. Chris Middlemiss
Mr. Gino Properzi
Mr. Dean Jolly
Ms. Lucas Jacobson
Ms. Natalie Henderson
Ms. Ashley Sedor
Ms. Erinn Jacula
Miss Taryn Wallace
Ms. Kristen Warholik
Ms. Amber Mercier
Mrs. Amber Cooley
Ms. Rob P.
Ms. Lori Martin
Ms. Jaf Imlan
Mr. Kelly Gervais
Mr. Ken Venner
Ms. Marc Schostek
Mrs. Michelle Hofer
Mrs. Jennifer W.
Mr. Aaron Frey
Ms. Meagan Alessio
Ms. Cassandra Schostek
Miss Tara Wieben
Miss Jennifer Hawker
Mr. Rogers Lehew
Ms. Jodi Harbour
Mrs. Robyn Palik
Mr. Ryan Palik
Mrs. Jessica Craig
Mr. Kirk Stenske
Mrs. Jennifer Stenske



ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

Mr. Kevin McDonald
Ms. Michelle Dallaire
Ms. Tamsin Biebe
Ms. Kayla Davis
Miss. Kayla Sedor
Mrs. Maria Whitmarsh
Mr. Arno Lukas
Ms. Paul Thebeau
Mr. Jordi Stokes
Bruce Kendall
Shane Kinch
Devon Markert
Red-tail Holdings Ltd.
Doug Reid
Denis Veraart

Opposition

Janet Ballentyne
Gerry Bietz on behalf of Bighill Creek Preservation Society
Bill Fennell
Glenn Lott
Dr. Jon Fennell
Tom Foss
Charlene Gale
Greg C. Gerlitz
Rocky View Gravel Watch
Harry Hodgson
Keith Koebisch
Linda and Morley Kostecky
Kelsey and Sarah Krokis
Leah Pearce
Teri Lipman
Patti Lott
Kevin Lynch
Alberta Environment and Parks
Nadine McEwing
Ann McKendrick McNabb
Fernando Peris
Vivian Pharis
Connor M.C. Reyes
Glenn Lott
Sylvia and Derrick Smith
Andrew Stiles